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The Texas State Board of Pharmacy's mission is to promote, preserve, and protect the public health, safety, and welfare by fostering the provision of quality pharmaceutical care to the citizens of Texas, through the regulation of: the practice of pharmacy; the operation of pharmacies; and the distribution of prescription drugs in the public interest.

The Texas State Board of Pharmacy is an independent state health regulatory agency, operating under the authority of its enabling legislation, the Texas Pharmacy Act (Texas Occupations Code Ann., Chapters 555-566 and 568-569) and the Texas Dangerous Drug Act (Health and Safety Code, Chapter 483).
Agency Operational Goal 1

To establish and implement reasonable standards for pharmacist, pharmacy technician and pharmacy technician trainee education and practice, and for the operations of pharmacies to assure that safe and effective pharmaceutical care is delivered to the citizens of Texas [Texas Pharmacy Act (Occupations Code, Sec. 555-566 and 568-569)].

Action Items to Achieve Goal

Continue to operate a licensure system for pharmacists, pharmacy technicians, pharmacy technician trainees, and pharmacies that will ensure that all licensees and registrants meet minimum licensing standards.

Agency Operational Goal 2

To assertively and swiftly enforce all laws relating to the practice of pharmacy to ensure that the public health and safety are protected from the following: incompetent pharmacists, pharmacy technicians and pharmacy technician trainees; unprofessional conduct, fraud, and misrepresentation by licensees; and diversion of prescription drugs from pharmacies; and to promote positive patient outcomes through the following: reduction of medication errors by encouraging or requiring licensees to implement self-assessment programs and continuous-quality improvement programs, including peer review processes; and enforcement of rules relating to patient counseling and drug regimen review, including prevention of misuse and abuse of prescription drugs and to operate the Prescription Monitoring Program for the State of Texas. [Texas Pharmacy Act (Occupations Code, Sec. 551-569), and Health and Safety Code, Chapter 483, Dangerous Drugs.]

Action Items to Achieve Goal

Through 2021, deter and reduce the incidence of violations of the law through compliance inspections of 40% of the licensed pharmacies located in Texas each year; through technical assistance to licensees; through education and increased licensee access to information by contacting all licensees; and to resolve complaints received within an average of 200 days.
Agency Operational Goal 3

To establish and implement policies governing purchasing and public works contracting that foster meaningful and substantive inclusion of historically underutilized businesses (HUBs).

Action Items to Achieve Goal

Through 2021, the Board will implement a plan for increasing the use of historically underutilized businesses through purchasing and public works contracts and subcontracts.
GOAL OR ACTION ITEMS THAT SUPPORT STATEWIDE OBJECTIVES

1. Accountable to tax and fee payers of Texas

The agency is totally self-supporting, in that the operations of the agency are supported primarily from statutory fees related to licensing, reciprocity, and examinations.

The chart below shows the agency's revenues and expenditures for a six-year period (FY2010 - FY2015).

![Revenue and Expenditures Chart]

Degree to Which Current Funding Meets Current and Expected Needs

One key factor that continues to affect the ability of the agency to serve and protect the public interest is the increased demand for agency services in every area of its operation. Dramatic increases in the demand for licensing, enforcement, and information services are well-documented throughout this Strategic Plan and in the agency's budget requests. This continued increase in demand for services, together with the increase in the complex nature of modern health and pharmaceutical care, continues to tax the agency's ability to respond to future challenges.

The agency has the authority and mechanisms necessary to generate the revenue needed to support its Strategic Plan and Budget Requests. The TSBP was successful in obtaining additional appropriations for a portion of the requested exceptional items during the 84th Legislative Session, most notably in the funding for merit increases for agency employees, an increase to the Executive Director's salary, and increases to technology.
It is anticipated that the growth of the registration of pharmacy technicians, pharmacists and pharmacies, will continue to challenge the agency. Labor statistics indicate that employment of pharmacy technicians is projected to grow 9% from 2014 to 2024, faster than the average for all occupations. Additionally, the Bureau of Labor Statistics’ reports that “employment of pharmacists is expected to grow by 17% between 2008 and 2018, which is faster than the average for all occupations.”

Since 2009, the licensee population of the agency has grown 23.1% (from 84,659 to 104,213) with a 25% increase in the number of pharmacists, a 22% increase in the number of pharmacies, and a 24% increase in the number of pharmacy technicians. This growth appears to be associated with the good health of the Texas economy and the availability of jobs in Texas. Growth in the number of licensees has dramatically affected every division including the enforcement and legal divisions since the number of complaints has increased with the number of licensees. In FY2015, the agency received 5,894 jurisdictional complaints, closed 5,922 jurisdictional complaints, and entered 628 disciplinary orders.

Finally, the passage of Senate Bill 195 transfers the responsibility for the operation of the Texas controlled substance prescription monitoring program (PMP) from the Texas Department of Public Safety (DPS) to the Texas State Board of Pharmacy on September 1, 2016. With the passage of this bill, a 2-year appropriation for FY2016-17 was approved by the Texas Legislature. In late FY2015, an interpretation of Senate Bill 195 by the Texas State Comptroller resulted in a determination that the Board of Pharmacy and the other agencies participating in the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program, did not have the authority to assess or increase fees in FY2016 sufficient to generate revenue to match the amount appropriated. Therefore, the Board of Pharmacy was not authorized to receive the rider appropriation in section 18.55, Art. IX of the 2016-2017 GAA for FY2016.

Although the Board of Pharmacy was awarded a federal grant to assist in the implementation of this important program, the lack of funding in the implementation year of FY2016 has required the agency to lapse positions and reduce many needed services in order to meet the statutory deadline of September 1, 2016.

The agency must be funded at an adequate level for it to accomplish its mission in a proactive rather than reactive manner. Failure to receive this funding will severely affect the agency’s ability to provide quality customer service, information, and protection to the citizens of Texas.
2. Efficient such that maximum results are produced with a minimum waste of taxpayer funds, including through the elimination of redundant and non-core functions.

The Sunset Advisory Commission’s Staff Report for the 85th Texas Legislature states “Sunset staff found that Texas has a continuing need to regulate the practice of pharmacy to ensure Texans receive safe and quality pharmaceutical care, and that no significant benefits would justify an alternative organization to the current independent agency structure.”

3. Effective in successfully fulfilling core functions, measuring success in achieving performance measures and implementing plans to continuously improve.

The agency continually operates by implementing and measuring performance against strategic and operational Goals and Objectives and through customer feedback. Therefore, the agency is continually self-evaluating, through each division and every employee. In addition to this continuous process, and in preparation for this Strategic Plan, the agency sought the input of Board Members, staff, officials of national and state pharmacy organizations, pharmacy academicians, and officials of consumer advocacy groups.

The strategy for the continued success of the agency consists of three distinct but interrelated elements:

- Leadership – The creative process comes from the ability of the organization and all its members to learn, improve, and innovate. The Board and management staff must establish a climate that allows the creative process to continue;

- Feedback from Employees – The Survey of Employee Engagement, administered by the School of Social Work at The University of Texas at Austin provides a uniform benchmark for all Texas government to compare employees' perceptions of organizational achievement from agency to agency and over time. The agency’s scores are consistently higher than the statewide average for all workplace dimensions; and

- Feedback from External Customers – The agency has developed customer service standards, and has been conducting a survey of agency customers regarding the quality of service delivered by the agency since FY2000.

The Texas State Board of Pharmacy has an excellent state and national reputation for its stature and effectiveness as a state health regulatory agency. This reputation has been reinforced within Texas and throughout the nation, as evidenced by the following:

- The Sunset Advisory Commission’s Staff Report for the 85th Texas Legislature states “The Texas State Board of Pharmacy has established itself as a well-run agency capable of effectively responding to new regulatory issues and legislative mandate within its limited resources”.
The agency met or exceeded 100% of its 10 key performance measures listed in the Appropriations Act and reported on an annual basis to the Legislative Budget Board for FY2015;

Monetary exception-free financial audit by the State Comptroller of Public Accounts;

Exception-free compliance audit of the agency’s personnel policies and procedures systems by the Texas Workforce Commission Civil Rights Division;

Exception-free audits by the Texas Building and Procurement Commission of TSBP purchasing process on the Delegated Service Certification Program (now the Texas Procurement and Support Services {TPASS} division of the State Comptroller);

A 2008 audit of the “Complaint Processing and Enforcement at the Board of Pharmacy” conducted by the State Auditor’s Office concluded that the Board of Pharmacy:

- imposes sanctions and disciplines licensees and registrants in accordance with state laws and regulations;
- has processes in place to monitor compliance with Board-ordered disciplinary actions; and
- follows its complaint handling process that prioritizes the assignment and investigation of complaints relative to the seriousness of the allegations.

A 2015 audit on “Inspections of Compounding Pharmacies at the Board of Pharmacy” conducted by the State Auditor’s Office concluded that the Board of Pharmacy:

- has designed and implemented inspection processes to help ensure that it conducts inspections of compounding pharmacies in accordance with applicable statutes and administrative rules;
- has a documented process to monitor violations and track corrective action plans; and
- has a process to help ensure that inspections of out-of-state pharmacies that compound sterile preparations are completed within the required time frames.

Achievement, over the past five years (FY2011-FY2015), of an average settlement rate of approximately 98% of TSBP’s contested cases resulting in a disciplinary order against licensees/registrants; this results in significant efficiencies, both in terms of complaint resolution time and costs;
• The agency’s continued success with the licensee/registrant acceptance of the Texas Online application system (96% for pharmacists and pharmacy technicians); and

• Comments from external customer organizations, both national and statewide, were solicited in the Strategic Plan external assessment. The comments received were not only instructive, but extremely positive and complimentary.

4. Providing excellent customer service.

In carrying out the TSBP mission, we will continue to strive to provide excellent customer service. Our customer service standards include: (1) being courteous, professional, flexible, honest, and helpful in all dealings with our customers; (2) providing our customers with clear, easy to understand, and accurate information about services; and (3) actively listening so we can better anticipate the needs of our customers and be fully responsive to customer concerns regarding our services. We appreciate and seek customer input to make informed decisions on policies, programs, and rules.

TSBP contracts with the University of Texas Organizational Excellence Group (UT) for an online customer satisfaction survey. The TSBP survey is accessible to all TSBP customers via a link on TSBP’s website.

5. Transparent such that actions can be understood by any Texan.

TSBP’s provision of information is spread across all of the divisions of the agency.

- **Licensees** – Information regarding the laws and rules relating to the practice of pharmacy.

- **Consumers** – Information on consumer issues, such as generic drugs, patient counseling requirements, and the provision of public information regarding complaint and disciplinary actions.

- **Legislature and other state and federal agencies** – Information regarding provision of the laws and rules relating to the practice of pharmacy and information regarding complaint and disciplinary actions.

In FY 2015, the agency accomplished the following related to the provision of information:

- The number of presentations to licensees by agency personnel has continued to increase annually and in 2015, agency staff gave 44 presentations to approximately 4051 individuals. Included in this number are 12 online presentations to 2,213 individuals.
● The Enforcement Division staff responded to 22,985 telephone calls received via the Compliance Queue Phone Line, assisted 380 callers through the Rx Law line, and made over 700 contacts with 97 law enforcement agencies.

● The Professional Services Division continued to use Mail Chimp, an online email system used to manage email addresses and send email notices. The use of Mail Chimp improved agency efficiency by using less paper and postage. The number of subscriptions to the account steadily increased with just over 8,200 subscribers at the end of the FY2015.

● An email subscription to the Newsletter is available on the TSBP website. Over 8,200 individuals were subscribed to the email notification as of the end of FY2015 (approximately 20% increase as compared to FY2014).

● Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube continued to be useful tools to provide information. At the end of FY2015, over 3,400 individuals “liked” TSBP on Facebook and over 2,000 individuals “followed” TSBP on Twitter.

● Five educational videos were produced and posted on You Tube during FY2015, including a four part series of videos on pill mills. The videos posted in FY2015 had over 6,000 views.
FISCAL YEARS 2017-2021 BUDGET STRUCTURE

AGENCY GOALS

1. To establish and implement reasonable standards for pharmacist, pharmacy technician and pharmacy technician trainee education and practice, and for the operations of pharmacies to assure that safe and effective pharmaceutical care is delivered to the citizens of Texas [Texas Pharmacy Act (Occupations Code, Sec. 555-566 and 568-569)].

2. To assertively and swiftly enforce all laws relating to the practice of pharmacy to ensure that the public health and safety are protected from the following: incompetent pharmacists, pharmacy technicians and pharmacy technician trainees; unprofessional conduct, fraud, and misrepresentation by licensees; and diversion of prescription drugs from pharmacies; and to promote positive patient outcomes through the following: reduction of medication errors by encouraging or requiring licensees to implement self-assessment programs and continuous-quality improvement programs, including peer review processes; and enforcement of rules relating to patient counseling and drug regimen review, including prevention of misuse and abuse of prescription drugs. [Texas Pharmacy Act (Occupations Code, Sec. 551-569), and Health and Safety Code, Chapter 483, Dangerous Drugs.]

3. To establish and implement policies governing purchasing and public works contracting that foster meaningful and substantive inclusion of historically underutilized businesses (HUBs).
AGENCY OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOME MEASURES

OBJECTIVE:

Continue to operate a licensure system for pharmacists, pharmacy technicians, pharmacy technician trainees, and pharmacies that will assure that all licensees and registrants meet minimum licensing standards through 2021.

Outcome Measure

- Percent of Licensees with No Recent Violations
- Percent of Licensees who Renew Online
- Percent of New Individual Licenses Issued Online

OBJECTIVE:

Through 2021, deter and reduce the incidence of violations of the law through compliance inspections of 40% of the licensed pharmacies located in Texas each year; through technical assistance to licensees; through education and increased licensee access to information by contacting all licensees; and to resolve complaints received within an average of 200 days.

Outcome Measures

- Percent of Complaints Resolved Resulting in Disciplinary Action
- Recidivism Rate of Those Receiving Disciplinary Action
- Percent of Documented Complaints Resolved Within 6 Months
- Recidivism Rate for Peer Assistance Program
- One-Year Completion Rate for Peer Assistance Program
OBJECTIVE:

To include historically underutilized businesses (HUBs) in at least 23% of professional services contracts, 24% of other services contracts, and 21% of commodities contracts and subcontracts awarded annually by the agency in purchasing and public works contracting by fiscal year 2016.

Outcome Measure

- Percent of Total Dollar Value of Purchasing and Public Works Contracts and Subcontracts Awarded to HUBs

AGENCY STRATEGIES AND OUTPUT, EFFICIENCY, AND EXPLANATORY MEASURES

STRATEGY 01.01.01

Operate a timely, cost-effective application and renewal licensure system for pharmacies and pharmacists, pharmacy technicians and pharmacy technician trainees.

Output Measures

- Number of New Licenses Issued to Individuals
- Number of Licenses Renewed (Individuals)
- Number of New Registrations Issued to Individuals
- Number of Registrations Renewed (Individuals)

Efficiency Measures

- Percent of New Individuals Licensed Within Ten Working Days
- Percent of Individual License Renewals Issued Within Seven Working Days
Explanatory Measures

- Total Number of Individuals Licensed
- Total Number of Business Facilities Licensed
- Total Number of Individuals Registered

STRATEGY 02.01.01

Emphasize preventive enforcement by conducting compliance inspections of pharmacies, promote voluntary compliance by providing information, education and technical assistance to licensees; and protect public health and safety by receiving, investigating, and resolving complaints, disciplining licensees, and monitoring compliance with disciplinary orders resulting from board adjudication.

Output Measures

- Number of Inspections
- Jurisdictional Complaints Resolved

Efficiency Measure

- Average Resolution Time for Resolving Jurisdictional Complaints

Explanatory Measure

- Jurisdictional Complaints Received

STRATEGY 02.01.02

Operate a Peer Assistance Program by monitoring the growth, development, and compliance of a program to aid pharmacists and eligible pharmacy students impaired by chemical abuse or mental or physical illness, and monitor the success of individuals in the program.

Output Measure

- Number of Licensed Individuals Participating in Peer Assistance Program
STRATEGY

Develop and implement a plan for increasing the use of historically underutilized businesses through purchasing and public works contracts and subcontracts.

Output Measures

- Number of HUB Contractors and Subcontractors Contacted for Bid Proposals
- Number of HUB Contracts and Subcontracts Awarded
- Dollar Value of HUB Contracts and Subcontracts Awarded
PERFORMANCE MEASURE DEFINITIONS FOR FY2017-2021

Licensing - Outcome Measures

(1) Percent of Licensees with No Recent Violations

Short Definition: The percent of the total number of licensees (pharmacists and pharmacies) at the end of the reporting period who have not been subject to a disciplinary order within the current and preceding two years (three years total). Note: The number of disciplined licensees is expressed as a percentage of the total number of licensees at the end of the reporting period (i.e., persons who obtained a new pharmacy or pharmacist license, during the reporting period, or who renewed a pharmacist or pharmacy license during the reporting period).

Purpose/Importance: Licensing individuals helps ensure that these persons meet legal standards for professional education and practice, which is a primary agency goal. This measure is an indication of the percentage of licensees who have not committed substantive violations of the laws and/or rules governing the practice of pharmacy. This measure is important because it indicates how effectively the agency’s activities deter violations of professional standards established by statute and rule.

Source/Collection of Data: Data regarding the denominator (number of licensees during the reporting period) is generated by the agency’s computerized data base. Data regarding the information needed to calculate the numerator (number of licensees who have been the subject of a disciplinary order within the past three fiscal years) is determined by a manual review of all disciplinary orders entered during the three-year reporting period (i.e., manually counting all of the orders contained in the notebooks for current and preceding two fiscal years). If a disciplinary order is reviewed and approved by the Board at a Board Meeting that falls in one fiscal year, but the order does not get signed by a Board Member until a date that falls into a subsequent fiscal year, the order will be considered as entered in the fiscal year that the Board reviews/approves the order. The orders are maintained in readily retrievable notebooks.

Disciplinary orders include the following two types of orders:

1. Agreed Board Orders - consent orders that are entered by the Board, in which the licensee neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in the order, but agrees to the sanctions imposed by the Board; and

2. Board Orders - orders which are entered by the Board after a public hearing has been conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH), and may impose a sanction on the licensee; also includes orders temporarily suspending a license (summary suspensions) or court-ordered suspensions (e.g., due to failure to pay child support).

TSBP Director of Administrative Services & Licensing is responsible for the licensure data. TSBP Director of Enforcement is responsible for the disciplinary data and calculating the measure.
Methodology:

Method of Calculation: This measure is calculated by dividing the numerator by the denominator and multiplying by 100 to achieve a percentage.

The following method is used to calculate the Numerator:

NUMERATOR - Denominator minus "X"

"X" is the total number of pharmacists, pharmacies who have been the subject of a disciplinary order within the current fiscal year and the two prior fiscal years (a total of three fiscal years). This number includes applicants who have a pharmacist, pharmacy license granted (with or without restrictions) under the terms of a disciplinary order.

Types of disciplinary orders included in this calculation would be orders imposing the following types of sanctions: granting a license (with or without restrictions), revocation, suspension with or without probation, cancellation, retirement, restriction, administrative penalty (fine), reprimand, or a combination of any of these sanctions. Warning letters are not considered as sanctions and are not included in this calculation.

There are some types of disciplinary orders that are NOT included in this calculation. These types of “excluded” orders, for purposes of this performance measure only, are described below.

(1) Disciplinary orders would not be included in this calculation if the order resulted in the Board granting or denying the reinstatement of a previously revoked license, unless the order included allegations of "new" violations (violations committed or allegedly committed by the licensee after the date the license was revoked, or not included in the prior order). Orders reinstating a license will generally not be included in this calculation because these types of orders generally do not include allegations (charges) of violations of laws/rules. Orders that deny a petition for reinstatement may include allegations or findings of new violations.

(2) Disciplinary orders would not be included in the calculation if the order resulted in the Board denying an individual’s application to obtain a new or to renew a pharmacist or pharmacy license. Since this type of order would not result in the person being counted in the denominator (in that the person would not be a licensee), the order should not be counted in the numerator.

(3) Disciplinary orders would not be included in this calculation if the order resulted in the Board (a) denying an internship registration; or (b) granting an internship registration (with or without conditions), but not granting a pharmacist license. Since this type of order would not result in the person being counted in the denominator (in that the person would not be a licensee), the order should not be considered in the numerator.
Disciplinary orders would not be included in this calculation if the order resulted in the Board granting or denying the modifications of a previously entered order, unless the order included allegations of "new" violations (violations committed or allegedly committed by the licensee after the date the order was entered, or not included in the prior order). Orders that grant modifications will generally not be included in this calculation because these types of orders generally do not include allegations (charges) of violations of laws/rules. Orders that deny modifications may include allegations or findings of new violations.

Disciplinary orders would not be included in this calculation if the order would result in "double counting" of a licensee. For example, pharmacists who were subject to more than one order during the three-year period will be counted only once. If a facility was subject to more than one order during the three-year period, it will be counted only once if it maintained the same license number during the three-year period. If a facility changed ownership (obtained a new license number), it will be included in this calculation.

DENOMINATOR - total number of licensees (pharmacists and pharmacies) licensed by the agency in this reporting period. This number is calculated by adding the totals of the following categories of licenses:

1. Number of new licenses issued to individuals (pharmacists) in current fiscal year (reporting period);
2. Number of new licenses issued to facilities (pharmacies) in current fiscal year (reporting period);
3. Number of pharmacist licenses renewed in current fiscal year (reporting period); and
4. Number of pharmacy licenses renewed in current fiscal year (reporting period).

Data Limitations: With regard to the Denominator, the agency has no control over the number of persons who wish to obtain or renew a license to operate a pharmacy in Texas, or who wish to obtain or renew a license to practice pharmacy in Texas. With regard to the Numerator, the number of disciplinary orders (that are entered by the Board each year) is limited by:

1. the number of applicants/licensees who commit substantive violations of the laws and/or rules governing the practice of pharmacy;
2. the number of complaints filed (TSBP has no control over the number of complaints that are filed with TSBP each year); and
3. the quantity of agency staff who investigate complaints and institute disciplinary action against an applicant or licensee.
(2) Percent of Licensees Who Renew Online

Short Definition: Percent of the total number of licensed, registered, or certified individuals who renewed their license, registration, or certification online during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: To track use of online license renewal technology by the licensee population.

Source/Collection of Data: The TSBP computerized data base calculates the total number of licenses or registrations renewed for a specific period of time, including the number of renewals that are issued as a result of the user accessing the Texas online application system.

Methodology: Total number of individual licenses, registrations, or certifications renewed online divided by the total number of individual licenses, registrations, or certifications renewed during the reporting period. The result should be multiplied by 100 to achieve a percentage.

Data Limitations: TSBP has no control over the number of individuals who choose to submit an online license, registration, or certification.

(3) Percent of New Individual Licenses Issued Online

Short Definition: Percent of all new licenses, registrations, or certifications issued to individuals during the reporting period, using the Texas online technology for initial payment. (Denominator = number of all new licenses issued, regardless of whether they have paid in any manner. Because TSBP issues a 30 day initial license, the payment for that license may not occur in the quarter reported. Numerator = number of initial license payments using the Texas online technology for payment.)
Purpose/Importance: To track use of online license renewal application technology by the licensee population.

Source/Collection of Data: The TSBP computerized data base can calculate the total number of new licenses or registrations issued for a specific period of time.

The TSBP computerized data base calculates the total number of initial licenses or registrations issued for a specific period of time, including the number that was issued as a result of the user using the Texas online application system.

Methodology: Total number of new licenses, registrations, or certifications issued to individuals online divided by the total number of new licenses, registrations, or certifications issued to individuals during the reporting period. The result should be multiplied by 100 to achieve a percentage.

Data Limitations: TSBP has no control over the number of individuals who choose to submit an online license, registration, or certification.

Calculation Type  Non-Cumulative
New Measure       No
Desired Performance Higher than Target

Licensing - Output Measures

(1) Number of New Licenses Issued to Individuals

Short Definition: The number of licenses issued to previously unlicensed individuals during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: To determine the number of new licenses issued to Texas pharmacists. This measure can be used to assist in determining the extent of a pharmacist surplus or shortage in Texas.

Source/Collection of Data: The licensing computer applications as developed and maintained by agency database system under master contract with the Department of Information Resources. TSBP Director of Administrative Services & Licensing is responsible for data.

Method of Calculation: The unduplicated number of individuals initially licensed in a reporting period.
Data Limitations: Data is dependent on the actual number of individuals who are initially licensed as a Texas pharmacist. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information. The data can give the reader an idea of the workload in the licensing area. The data (number of people who choose Texas as their state of licensure) however, is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

Calculation Type: Cumulative

New Measure: No

Desired Performance: Higher than Target

(2) Number of New Registrations Issued to Individuals

Short Definition: The number of registrations issued to previously unregistered individuals during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: To determine the number of new registrations issued to Texas pharmacy technicians and technician trainees. This measure can be used to assist in determining the extent of a pharmacy technician surplus or shortage in Texas.

Source/Collection of Data: The licensing computer applications as developed and maintained by agency database system under master contract with the Department of Information Resources. TSBP Director of Administrative Services & Licensing is responsible for data.

Method of Calculation: The unduplicated number of individuals initially registered in a reporting period.

Data Limitations: Data is dependent on the actual number of individuals who are initially registered as a Texas pharmacy technician and technician trainee. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information. The data can give the reader an idea of the workload in the licensing area. The data (number of people who choose Texas as their state of registration) however, is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

Calculation Type: Cumulative

New Measure: No

Desired Performance: Higher than Target
(3) Number of Licenses Renewed (Individuals)

Short Definition: The number of licenses issued to previously-licensed individuals during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: To determine the number of pharmacists who renew their Texas license. This measure can be used to assist in determining the extent of a pharmacist surplus or shortage in Texas, and determine the impact to the agency workload as this number increases.

Source/Collection of Data: The licensing computer applications, as developed and maintained by agency database system under master contract with the Department of Information Resources. TSBP Director of Administrative Services & Licensing is responsible for data.

Method of Calculation: The unduplicated number of individuals who renew a license in a reporting period.

Data Limitations: Data is dependent on the actual number of individuals who choose to continue their Texas pharmacist license. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information. The data can give the reader an idea of the workload in the licensing area. The data (number of people who choose Texas as their state of licensure) however, is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

Calculation Type: Cumulative
New Measure: No
Desired Performance: Higher than Target

(4) Number of Registrations Renewed (Individuals)

Short Definition: The number of registrations issued to previously-registered individuals during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: To determine the number of pharmacy technicians who renew their Texas registration. This measure can be used to assist in determining the extent of a pharmacy technician surplus or shortage in Texas, and determine the impact to the agency workload as this number increases.

Source/Collection of Data: The licensing computer applications, as developed and maintained by agency database system under master contract with the Department of Information Resources. TSBP Director of Administrative Services & Licensing is responsible for data.

Method of Calculation: The unduplicated number of individuals who renew a registration in a reporting period.
Data Limitations: Data is dependent on the actual number of individuals who choose to continue their Texas pharmacy technician registration. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information. The data can give the reader an idea of the workload in the licensing area. The data (number of people who choose Texas as their state of registration) however, is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

Calculation Type: Cumulative

New Measure: No

Desired Performance: Higher than target

LICENSING - EXPLANATORY MEASURES

(1) Total Number of Individuals Licensed

Short Definition: The unduplicated number of individuals currently licensed (active and inactive) by the agency.

Purpose/Importance: An information tool to report the number of pharmacists who are currently licensed by the agency, at any given point in time.

Source/Collection of Data: This number is obtained from licensing computer applications, as developed and maintained by agency database system under master contract with the Department of Information Resources. TSBP Director of Administrative Services & Licensing is responsible for the data.

Method of Calculation: See Collection of Data above.

Data Limitations: Data is dependent on the actual number of individuals who choose to continue their Texas pharmacist license. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information. The data can give the reader an idea of the workload in the licensing area. The data (number of people who choose Texas as their state of licensure) however, is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

Calculation Type: Non-Cumulative

New Measure: No

Desired Performance: Higher than Target
(2) Total Number of Business Facilities Licensed

Short Definition: The unduplicated number of facilities currently licensed by the agency.

Purpose/Importance: An information tool to report the number of pharmacies that are currently licensed by the agency, at any given point in time.

Source/Collection of Data: This number is obtained from licensing computer applications as developed and maintained by agency database system under master contract with the Department of Information Resources. TSBP Director of Administrative Services & Licensing is responsible for the data.

Method of Calculation: See Collection of Data above.

Data Limitations: Data is dependent on the actual number of pharmacies that choose to continue their licensure status in Texas. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information. The data can give the reader an idea of the workload in the licensing area. The data (number of people who choose to operate a pharmacy in Texas) however, is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

Calculation Type Non-Cumulative
New Measure No
Desired Performance Higher than Target

(3) Total Number of Individuals Registered

Short Definition: The unduplicated number of individuals currently registered by the agency.

Purpose/Importance: An information tool to report the number of pharmacy technicians and pharmacy technician trainees who are currently registered by the agency, at any given point in time.

Source/Collection of Data: This number is obtained from licensing computer applications, as developed and maintained by agency database system under master contract with the Department of Information Resources. TSBP Director of Administrative Services & Licensing is responsible for the data.

Method of Calculation: See Collection of Data above.
Data Limitations: Data is dependent on the actual number of individuals who choose to initiate or continue their Texas pharmacy technician registration. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information. The data can give the reader an idea of the workload in the licensing area. The data (number of people who choose Texas as their state of registration) however, is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

Calculation Type: Non-Cumulative

New Measure: Yes

Desired Performance: Higher than Target

ENFORCEMENT - OUTCOME MEASURES

(1) Percent of Complaints Resulting in Disciplinary Action

Short Definition: Percent of documented jurisdictional complaints that were resolved (closed) through the entry of a disciplinary order during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: This measure is intended to show the extent to which the agency exercises its disciplinary authority in proportion to the number of complaints received. It is important that both the public and licensees have an expectation that the agency will work to ensure fair and effective enforcement of the laws and rules governing the practice of pharmacy. This measure seeks to indicate the agency’s responsiveness to this expectation, as well as serves as an indication of the agency’s workload with regard to investigations resulting in disciplinary actions as compared to investigations not resulting in disciplinary actions.

Source/Collection of Data: Data is obtained from the agency’s computerized data base (complaint-tracking system). Disciplinary orders are maintained in readily retrievable notebooks. The TSBP Director of Enforcement is responsible for the data.

Method of Calculation: The performance measure is calculated by dividing the numerator by the denominator and multiplying by 100 to achieve a percentage.

The following method is used to calculate the Numerator:

NUMERATOR - Total number of complaints (jurisdictional only) that are resolved (closed) during the reporting period in which at least one licensee has been the subject of a disciplinary order. See performance measure entitled "Percent of Licensees with No Recent Violations" for description and explanation of the term “Disciplinary Order.” See performance measure entitled “Complaints Received” for description and explanation of the term “Jurisdictional Complaint.” All disciplinary orders would be included in this calculation, including: (1) orders that grant or deny an application for a pharmacist or pharmacy license, intern registration, or technician registration; (2) petition to reinstate a previously revoked license; and (3) petition to modify a previously entered order.
A complaint may involve two licensees (one pharmacist and one pharmacy). Such a complaint may result in disciplinary orders against both licensees, only one licensee, or neither licensee. If the complaint results in a disciplinary order on one licensee (e.g., pharmacist) in one fiscal year (or reporting period) and a non-disciplinary action (i.e., no disciplinary order) on the second licensee (e.g., pharmacy) in another fiscal year (or reporting period), the complaint will be counted in the numerator as of the date the disciplinary order was entered (signed). If the complaint results in a disciplinary order on one licensee in one fiscal year (or reporting period) and a second disciplinary order on the second licensee in another fiscal year (reporting period), the complaint will be counted in the fiscal year (reporting period) as of the last date the disciplinary order was entered (signed). If the complaint is not closed with the entry of a disciplinary order on at least one licensee, the complaint will not be counted in the numerator. If the complaint results in two disciplinary orders, the complaint will still be counted as only one complaint. If the Board enters a disciplinary order that closes more than one complaint (as a result of multiple complaints being filed on the licensee), all complaints will be counted in the numerator.

DENOMINATOR -- Total number of jurisdictional complaints that are resolved (closed) during the reporting period, regardless of how the complaint was resolved (closed). This is the same number that will be reported for the performance measure entitled "Complaints Resolved."

Data Limitations: TSBP has no control over the number of complaints it receives, and consequently, has no control over the number of complaints that require disciplinary action to be taken (i.e., complaints that, following an investigation, produce evidence to prove that a licensee or applicant has committed a substantive violation of the laws and/or rules governing the practice of pharmacy).

Calculation Type Non-cumulative

New Measure No

Desired Performance Higher than Target

Note: this statement is based upon the assumption that a greater percentage of disciplinary actions is an indication of “better” (more effective) enforcement. However, this assumption may or may not be true.

(2) Recidivism Rate of Those Receiving Disciplinary Action

Short Definition: The number of “repeat offenders” at the end of the reporting period as a percentage of all offenders during the most recent three-year period. For purposes of this measure, the term “repeat offender” is defined as a person who has been the subject of two or more disciplinary orders within the past three fiscal years.
Purpose/Importance: This measure is intended to show how effectively TSBP enforces the laws and rules governing the practice of pharmacy. It also gives an indication of the workload on the agency’s enforcement/legal staff that is caused by repeat offenders.” It is important that TSBP enforce its laws and rules strictly enough to ensure consumers are protected from unsafe, incompetent, and unethical practice by licensees.

Source/Collection of Data: Data is obtained from the agency’s computerized data base (complaint-tracking system). Disciplinary orders are maintained in readily retrievable notebooks. The TSBP Director of Enforcement is responsible for the data.

Method of Calculation: This performance measure is calculated by dividing the numerator by the denominator and multiplying by 100 to achieve a percentage.

The following method is used to calculate the Numerator:

NUMERATOR - Total number of persons who were the subject of more than one disciplinary order during the current fiscal year and the two prior fiscal years. See the performance measure entitled "Percent of Licensees with No Recent Violations" for description and explanation of the term “Disciplinary Order.” Warning Letters are not disciplinary orders and are not included in this calculation.

For purposes of calculating the numerator of this performance measure only, the following types of disciplinary orders would not be included: (1) Orders that grant or deny an application for a license or registration, unless the disciplinary action to deny/grant an application involved a repeat offense; (2) Orders that grant or deny a petition to modify a previously entered order, unless the disciplinary action to deny/grant the petition involved a repeat offense; and (3) Orders that would result in double counting.” See performance measure entitled “Percent of Licensees with no Recent Violations” for description and explanation of the term “double counting.”

“Repeat offenders” are determined by manually reviewing disciplinary orders (that are maintained in notebooks) to determine the license numbers and registration numbers that were subject to orders entered during the current fiscal year; then entering those license and registration numbers into the agency’s computerized data base to determine if the person was subject to another order that was entered in the prior two fiscal years. If the individual does not have a license number (e.g., applicant), matching of names will be required.

DENOMINATOR - The number of persons who have been the subject of a disciplinary order during the past three fiscal years. For purposes of calculating the denominator of this performance measure only, the following types of disciplinary orders would not be included: (1) Orders denying the reinstatement of license, unless the Order included allegations of “new” violations; (2) Orders granting or denying the modification of a previously entered order, unless the order included allegations of “new” violations; and (3) Orders that would result in “double counting.” See performance measure entitled
“Percent of Licensees with No Recent Violations” for description and explanation of the terms “new violations” and “double counting.”

**Data Limitations:** TSBP aggressively monitors persons who are on probation (as a result of a sanction imposed by a disciplinary order). However, a person may violate the laws/rules governing the practice of pharmacy, despite the fact that the person knows the action will be a probation violation and will likely result in additional, more severe disciplinary sanctions. TSBP has no control over the licensee’s intentions to violate the laws/rules governing the practice of pharmacy.

**Calculation Type**  Non-cumulative

**New Measure**  No

**Desired Performance**  Lower than Target

Note: this statement is based upon the assumption that a lower percentage of repeat offenders is an indication of the agency’s effectiveness of enforcement. This assumption may or may not be true.

**(3) Percent of Documented Complaints Resolved Within Six Months**

**Short Definition:** The percent of documented jurisdictional complaints resolved (closed) during the reporting period, that were resolved (closed) within a six-month period (180 calendar days) from the date of the receipt of the complaint by the agency.

**Purpose/Importance:** This measure gives an indication of the agency’s timeliness in resolving (closing) complaints. It is important to ensure the swift enforcement of the laws and rules governing the practice of pharmacy, which is an agency goal.

**Source/Collection of Data:** Data is generated by the agency’s computerized data base (complaint tracking system). The TSBP Director of Enforcement is responsible for the data.

**Method of Calculation:** This performance measure is calculated by dividing the numerator by the denominator and multiplying by 100 to achieve a percentage.

NUMERATOR - Total number of jurisdictional complaints closed within six months (or less) from the date of the receipt of the complaint.
DENOMINATOR - This number is the same as the number reported for the performance measure entitled "Jurisdictional Complaints Resolved."

The date of the receipt of the complaint is documented on the complaint form and is entered into the agency's computerized complaint tracking system. The date the complaint is closed by the agency is also documented on the complaint form and entered into the agency's computerized complaint tracking system. The computer calculates the total number of days it took the agency to resolve (close) each one of the complaints closed during the reporting period. The computer also calculates the number of complaints closed within six months and the number of complaints that were not closed within six months, as well as the percentage for each. The computer generates a report that: (a) lists all jurisdictional complaints closed during the reporting period, by complaint number; (b) identifies the complaints that took only six months to close; and (c) produces the information with regard to the percentage of complaints closed within six months.

Data Limitations: Because the agency prioritizes complaints, more serious complaints are handled before less serious complaints. In addition, the size of TSBP’s complaint backlog has an impact on the number (percentage) of complaints that can be closed in a timely manner. When TSBP receives more complaints than it resolves (closes), a backlog of complaints is formed. Each year that TSBP is unable to close 100% of the complaints it receives, the backlog continues to mount and complaints get older before agency staff can begin to work on the new complaints being received. Most significantly, the swiftness of resolution is dependent on the number and efficiency of enforcement staff who are handling the resolution of complaints.

Calculation Type Non-cumulative
New Measure No
Desired Performance Higher than Target

ENFORCEMENT - OUTPUT MEASURES

(1) Number of Inspections

Short Definition: Total number of compliance inspections/visits during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: This measure is an indication of the output of the agency’s field Compliance Officers/Inspectors. In addition, the number of inspections/visits can be reflective of compliance with requirements. The more often an inspection occurs in a facility, the more likely they are to be in compliance.

Source/Collection of Data: Data is generated by the agency’s computerized data base and is verified through a manual reporting system. TSBP Director of Enforcement is responsible for data.
Method of Calculation: The date of the inspection or inspection-visit is entered into the agency’s computerized system. The computer calculates the number of inspections per reporting period. Compliance Officers/Inspectors complete weekly activity reports, indicating the number of pharmacies that were inspected or visited. The two reports are checked/verified against each other.

Data Limitations: The number of inspections conducted is dependent on the number of field Compliance Officers/Inspectors who are available to conduct the inspections. If the agency experiences any turnover in this area, the number of inspections conducted is decreased.

Calculation Type Cumulative
New Measure No
Desired Performance Higher than Target

(2) Number of Jurisdictional Complaints Resolved

Short Definition: The total number of jurisdictional complaints resolved (closed) during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: This measure is an indication of the agency’s workload with regard to the number of complaint investigations conducted and final actions taken by the agency.

Source/Collection of Data: Data is generated by the agency’s computerized data base (complaint tracking system). TSBP Director of Enforcement is responsible for data.

Method of Calculation: All jurisdictional complaints resolved (closed) during the reporting period will be included in this calculation, regardless of the method of resolution. If a complaint is referred to the TSBP Legal Division for review (for possible institution of disciplinary action), the complaint will not be considered closed until final action is taken (e.g., entry of a disciplinary order, adjudicative warning letter, closing of complaint with no formal action, or institution of disciplinary action with subsequent dismissal). For these complaints, the date of the adjudication action will be the date that the complaint is closed (e.g., date of the disciplinary order, date of the warning letter, date of the informal conference in which the decision was made to dismiss the case). If the complaint is not referred to the Legal Division for review, the complaint will be considered closed as of the date of action (e.g., date of warning letter, if complaint was closed with a warning letter; date of the telephone call, if the complaint was closed with a telephone call; date of the final review by the division director, or designee, such as when a complaint is closed with investigation/no violation).
Data Limitations: TSBP has no control over the number of complaints that it receives, which has a direct relationship to the number of complaints it resolves (closes). Most significantly, the quantity of complaints closed is dependent on the number and efficiency of enforcement staff who are handling the resolution of complaints.

Calculation Type  Cumulative
New Measure  No
Desired Performance  Higher than Target

ENFORCEMENT - EFFICIENCY MEASURES

(1) Average Resolution Time for Resolving Jurisdictional Complaints

Short Definition: The average length of time to resolve (close) a jurisdictional complaint, for all jurisdictional complaints resolved (closed) during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: This measure gives an indication of the agency’s timeliness in closing complaints.

Source/Collection of Data: Data is generated by the agency's computerized data base (complaint tracking system). TSBP Director of Enforcement is responsible for data.

Method of Calculation: The date of the receipt of the complaint is entered into the agency's computerized complaint tracking system. The date the complaint is closed by the agency is also entered into the agency's computerized complaint tracking system. For each complaint, the agency's computer system calculates the total number of calendar days elapsed from the date of the receipt of the complaint by the agency to the date that the complaint is closed (i.e., the date final action is taken by the agency). Then the computer calculates the total number of calendar days for all closed complaints and divides this number by the total number of complaints closed by the agency (resulting figure is the average time for complaint resolution).

Data Limitations: When the agency receives many more complaints than it resolves (closes), a backlog of complaints is formed. Each year that the agency is unable to close 100% of the complaints it receives, the backlog continues to mount and complaints get older before agency staff can begin to work on the new complaints being received. This situation has a major impact on the average complaint resolution time. Most significantly, the swiftness of resolution is dependent on the number and efficiency of enforcement staff who are handling the resolution of complaints.

Calculation Type  Non-cumulative
New Measure  No
Desired Performance  Lower than Target
ENFORCEMENT - EXPLANATORY MEASURES

(1) **Number of Jurisdictional Complaints Received**

**Short Definition:** The total number of jurisdictional complaints received by TSBP during the reporting period. See explanation of “jurisdictional complaint” below.

**Purpose/Importance:** This measure is an indication of the workload on the agency’s enforcement staff.

**Source/Collection of Data:** Data is generated by the agency’s computerized data base (complaint tracking system). TSBP Director of Enforcement is responsible for the data.

**Method of Calculation:** After a complaint is received and evaluated, agency staff determine whether the complaint is a jurisdictional complaint or a non-jurisdictional complaint. Jurisdictional complaints include complaints filed against persons licensed or registered by TSBP or persons who are applying for a license/registration that is issued by TSBP, regardless of the allegations made in the complaint. Jurisdictional complaints also include complaints filed against persons who are not licensed or registered by TSBP, if the complainant has alleged that the subject of the complaint has violated the Texas Pharmacy Act or the Texas Dangerous Drug Act (TSBP has the jurisdiction and authority to enforce these two Acts). Agency staff enter the jurisdictional status in the agency’s computer system. The computer calculates the number of jurisdictional complaints received during the reporting period and produces a report that (1) lists the total number of jurisdictional complaints received; (2) identifies all jurisdictional complaints received during the reporting period, by complaint number; and (3) lists the number of non-jurisdictional complaints. Although TSBP keeps track of the total number of non-jurisdictional complaints, TSBP does not use that figure in its calculation of this performance measure.

**Data Limitations:** TSBP has no control over how many complaints it receives. The Texas Pharmacy Act requires pharmacies to post a sign informing the consumer how to file a complaint (the sign lists the agency’s address, telephone number, and toll-free number). TSBP also requires pharmacies who deliver (mail) prescriptions to advise customers of the same information contained in the aforementioned sign. This information has increased consumer awareness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation Type</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than Target, provided the agency has sufficient staff to handle the increased workload</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(2) **Number of Queries Received by the Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP)**

**Short Definition:** The number of queries recorded by the PMP System during the reporting period.

**Purpose/Importance:** To determine the number of queries performed by users of the system. This measure can be used to assist in determining if additional educational/outreach efforts are needed to maximize use of the system.

**Source/Collection of Data:** The computer application system as developed and maintained by the agency vendor. TSBP Director of Professional Services is responsible for data.

**Method of Calculation:** Same as short definition above.

**Data Limitations:** Data is dependent on the actual number of queries received by the PMP System. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information as the data is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation Type</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) **Number of Controlled Substances Prescriptions Submitted to the Prescription Monitoring System (PMP)**

**Short Definition:** The number of controlled substances prescriptions submitted by dispensers during the reporting period.

**Purpose/Importance:** To determine the number of controlled substances prescriptions submitted by authorized users. This measure can be used to assist in determining the extent of the prescribing of controlled substances in Texas.

**Source/Collection of Data:** The computer application system as developed and maintained by the agency vendor. TSBP Director of Professional Services is responsible for data.

**Method of Calculation:** Same as short definition above.

**Data Limitations:** Data is dependent on the actual number of dispensers who report data to the PMP System. This measure is only useful as an explanatory piece of information as the data is not a factor that can be controlled by the agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation Type</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PEER ASSISTANCE - OUTCOME MEASURES

(1) Recidivism Rate for Peer Assistance Programs

Short Definition: The percent of individuals who relapse within three years of the end of the reporting period as part of the total number of individuals who have been through or participate in the program during the previous three years.

Purpose/Importance: This measure is intended to show the three-year recidivism rate for those individuals who are participating in a peer assistance program. It is important because it indicates that consumers are being protected from unsafe, incompetent, and unethical (professional) practice as a result of the peer assistance program.

Source/Collection of Data: The PRN program will review its records and report the following numbers to TSBP: Data regarding the denominator [total number of individuals who have been reported to the PRN program in X-4 (where X is the current fiscal year), and who achieved a one-year sobriety date during X-3] is determined by a manual review of contracts entered during the reporting period. Data regarding the information needed to calculate the numerator (any individual who became the subject of a related disciplinary order anytime between the end of the one-year sobriety date and the end of the current fiscal year; or who has relapsed during this same period of time, as determined by PRN’s review of individual files) is determined by a manual review of individuals’ files. For individuals on PRN contracts only, the PRN program will determine if the individual relapsed.

TSBP will review its records and determine the following numbers: Data regarding the denominator [number of individuals who have been the subject of a disciplinary order in X-4 (where X is the current fiscal year), and who achieved a one-year sobriety date during X-3] is determined by manual review of disciplinary orders entered during the reporting period. Data regarding the information needed to calculate the numerator (any individual who became the subject of a related disciplinary order anytime between the end of the one-year sobriety date and the end of the current fiscal year) is determined by a manual review of disciplinary orders.

TSBP will add the PRN numbers to its numbers and calculate totals. TSBP Enforcement Administrator is responsible for the collection of data. The data is maintained in manual files.

Method of Calculation: Of all individuals successfully completing the program in fiscal year X-3, (where X is the current fiscal year), the percent of individuals receiving related disciplinary action from the Board anytime between the beginning of the fiscal year X-3 and the end of fiscal year X (i.e., the current fiscal year).

This measure is calculated by dividing the numerator by the denominator and multiplying by 100 to achieve a percentage.
The following method is used to calculate the Numerator:

**NUMERATOR** – For individuals being monitored by TSBP, “X” is any individual who became the subject of a related disciplinary order anytime between successfully completing the program and the end of the current fiscal year. For individuals who are being monitored by the PRN program, “X” is any individual who has relapsed during this same period of time, as determined by PRN’s review of individual files. Individuals who have had their pharmacist license revoked or retired between the end of the one-year sobriety date and the end of the current fiscal year will be considered as having relapsed.

Applicable terms are defined below:

1. “Individuals” are defined as pharmacists licensed by TSBP or applicants for licensure, who are participating in the PRN program or are subject of a disciplinary order.

2. The term “Disciplinary Order” is defined in the performance measure entitled “Percent of Licensees with no Recent Violations.”

3. A “related” disciplinary order would be an order containing one or more violations or alleged violations (i.e., charges or counts) that directly relate to relapse of impairment (e.g., unauthorized use of controlled drugs for personal use). An “unrelated” order would not be included in this figure. Unrelated orders would include the following types of disciplinary orders: (a) orders based upon an individual’s failure to submit to a drug screen (i.e., a “no-show” is not considered a “relapse”); (b) orders based upon an individual’s failure to submit required reports (e.g., self performance reports and reports from supervising pharmacist and/or mental health professional); and (c) orders based upon violations or alleged violations of the laws and rules governing the practice of pharmacy, other than impairment (e.g., failure to produce required continuing education records upon audit).

4. “Successfully completing the program” means individuals who have completed one-year sobriety (i.e., 12 months of sobriety from “start date” - see explanation of “start date” below).

The following method is used to calculate the Denominator:

**DENOMINATOR** - Total number of individuals who have been reported to the PRN program (regardless of the referral source) or who were the subject of a disciplinary order in X-4 (where X is the current fiscal year), and who achieved a one-year sobriety date during X-3.
These figures would include individuals in the PRN known only to the PRN program, as well as individuals in the PRN program known to the PRN program and TSBP. Year-end figures would not include individuals who did not participate in the program ("dropped out" of the program) during the reporting period due to reasons such as: (a) the individual allowed his/her pharmacist license to expire during the reporting period (i.e., the individual no longer holds a valid license and thereby, is not under TSBP’s jurisdiction); (b) the individual dies during the reporting period (regardless of the reason for the death of the individual) and (c) the individual moves out of state. Accordingly, such an individual may be included in the calculations during one or two of the three-year reporting period, but not in the remaining years of the reporting period.

If an individual was reported to the PRN program in one fiscal year, and reported to TSBP in a subsequent fiscal year (or vice versa), the following is applicable:

(A) the individual would be counted only once;

(B) for individuals reported to TSBP, the "start date" (for calculating the one-year sobriety period) would be the date of the entry of the disciplinary order*;

(C) for individuals reported to PRN program, the "start date" (for calculating the one-year sobriety period) would be the date the individual signed a contract with the PRN program, or an equivalent date*;

(D) for purposes of calculating the one-year sobriety period, the "start date" would be earlier of (B) or (C).

* If an individual is subject to a new/revised PRN contract or a second related disciplinary order (other than revocation, cancellation, or retirement), the date of the entry of the second contract or order would serve as a new "start date" for calculating the one-year sobriety period.

Data Limitations: With regard to the Denominator, TSBP has no control over the number of individuals who enter into PRN contracts. With regard to the numerator, the number of disciplinary orders (that are entered by TSBP each year) is limited by the number of individuals who commit violations involving relapse or impairment.

Calculation Type: Non-cumulative

New Measure: No

Desired Performance: Lower than target
(2) One-year Completion Rate for Peer Assistance Program

**Short definition:** Percent of individuals who successfully completed the peer assistance program during the year prior to the reporting period and have not relapsed during the one-year period.

**Purpose/Importance:** It is important because it indicates that consumers are being protected from unsafe, incompetent, and unethical (professional) practice as a result of the peer assistance program.

**Source/Collection of Data:** The PRN program will review its records and report the following to TSBP: Data regarding the denominator (number of individuals who have entered contracts with the PRN program in the prior fiscal year) is determined by a manual review of contracts entered during the reporting period. Data regarding the information needed to calculate the numerator (the number of individuals who achieved their one-year sobriety date in the current fiscal year) is determined by a manual review of individuals' files. For individuals on PRN contracts only (not subject to TSBP disciplinary orders), the PRN program will determine if the individual relapsed.

TSBP will review its records and determine the following numbers: Data regarding the denominator (total number of individuals subject to TSBP disciplinary order for impairment during the prior fiscal year) is determined by manual review of disciplinary orders entered during the prior fiscal year. Data regarding the information needed to calculate the numerator (number of individuals who were subject to an order during the prior fiscal year and who achieved one-year sobriety) is determined by a manual review of individuals’ files and disciplinary orders.

TSBP will add the PRN numbers to its number and calculate totals. TSBP Enforcement Administrator is responsible for the collection of the data. The data is maintained in manual files.

**Method of Calculation:** Of all the individuals who have been referred to the peer assistance program in fiscal year X-1 (where X is the current fiscal year), the percent who have successfully participated in the program for one year with no relapses. For the purposes of this performance measure, the definition of the term “individual” is the same definition contained in the performance measure entitled “Recidivism Rate for Peer Assistance Programs.”

This measure is calculated by dividing the numerator by the denominator and multiplying by 100 to achieve a percentage.

**NUMERATOR -** the number of individuals who signed a PRN contract in the previous year and who achieved their one year sobriety date in the current fiscal year and the number of individuals who were subject to a related disciplinary order during the prior fiscal year (and subject to a PRN contract after the date of the TSBP disciplinary order) and who achieved a one year sobriety during the current fiscal year. Applicable terms are defined below:
(1) “Participation in the peer assistance program” - individuals who have signed a contract with the PRN program or been the subject of a disciplinary order during FYX-1.

(2) “One-year sobriety date” - this term refers to individuals who have not had a relapse within 12 months of the entry of their contract or their disciplinary order. Individuals who die (regardless of the reason for the death of the individual) within 12 months of the entry of their contract or disciplinary order would not be considered as not having achieved their one-year sobriety date). Individuals who have had their pharmacist license revoked or retired within 12 months of the entry of their contract or their disciplinary order, regardless of the reason, would be considered as not achieving their one-year sobriety date.

DENOMINATOR - The number of all individuals who signed a contract with the PRN program during the prior fiscal year and all individuals who were subject to a TSBP disciplinary order for impairment during the prior fiscal year. For purposes of this performance measure, unrelated disciplinary orders would not be included in this calculation (i.e., disciplinary orders not related to relapse).

Data Limitations: TSBP has no control over the number of individuals who enter into PRN contracts or the number of individuals who relapse.

Calculation Type Non-cumulative

New Measure No

Desired Performance Higher than target

PEER ASSISTANCE - OUTPUT MEASURES

(1) Number of Licensed Individuals Participating In a Peer Assistance Program

Short Definition: The number of licensed individuals who participated in a peer assistance program sponsored by the agency during the reporting period.

Purpose/Importance: This measure shows licensed individuals who continue to practice in their respective field who are participating in a substance abuse program.

Source/Collection of Data: The PRN program will manually review its records and report the following to TSBP: the total number of licensed individuals who have signed a contract during the reporting period and are being monitored by the PRN program (minus any TSBP program participants). TSBP will manually review its records and determine the following: the number of licensed individuals who have had disciplinary orders entered during the reporting period and are being monitored by TSBP and add the TSBP number to the PRN number.
TSBP will add the PRN numbers to its numbers and calculate totals. The TSBP Enforcement Administrator is responsible for the collection of the data. The data is maintained in manual files.

The first quarter’s report will include all licensed individuals carried forward from the prior year as well as those individuals who have had disciplinary orders entered/signed contracts during the quarter. However, the report for the second, third, and fourth quarters will be only the number of licensed individuals who have had disciplinary orders entered/signed contracts during the respective quarter, in order for the cumulative number to be the total number of licensed individuals who participated in the peer assistance program during the current fiscal year.

**Method of Calculation:** The summation of all the licensed individuals who are listed as participating in the program during the reporting period.

PRN program will determine the total number of licensed individuals who are being monitored by the PRN program (i.e., individuals who have signed a contract with the PRN program). TSBP will determine the total number of licensed individuals who are being monitored by TSBP (i.e., individuals who have been subject to a disciplinary order requiring the individual to participate in the PRN program, and/or that includes allegations or findings of one or more counts of impairment) during the current fiscal year.

The term “licensed individuals” refers only to pharmacists licensed by TSBP, including individuals who have been subject to an order granting or reinstating their license, and pharmacist applicants who have been subject to an order granting their license. If an individual is licensed as a pharmacist as of September 1 of the current fiscal year, the individual will be counted as being licensed, for the purpose of this performance measure. Pharmacists licensed by TSBP include individuals who have the following licensure status: active, inactive, delinquent, suspended, probation, or restricted.

Note: TSBP may not count an individual who has a revoked or expired license. However, PRN may count an individual with a revoked or expired status, if that individual is being monitored under a current PRN contract.

**Data Limitations:** TSBP has no control over the number of licensed individuals who develop a physical, mental, or chemical impairment. In addition, the agency has no control over the number of licensed individuals reported to and monitored by PRN program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation Type</th>
<th>Non-Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired Performance</td>
<td>Higher than target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESS PLAN

It is the intent of the Legislature that each state agency receiving appropriations shall make a good-faith effort to include historically underutilized businesses (HUB) in the following categories, in acquiring, constructing, or equipping new or existing facilities, and in the operational implementation of each strategy funded:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Actual FY15</th>
<th>Agency Goal for FY16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Service Contracts</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>23.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services Contracts</td>
<td>1.77%</td>
<td>24.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities Contracts</td>
<td>38.22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The agency attempts to utilize HUB vendors for all delegated purchases and, in fact, has a HUB policy. In the event of performance shortfalls, the agency reviews the requirements listed in the overall bid process and notes any constraints that exist, specifically constraints relating to contracts that are proprietary in nature. Agency data regarding goals, actual performance, and constraints are noted in the Annual Non-Financial Report.

The agency has made a dedicated effort to satisfy the requirement for soliciting at least two HUB-certified minorities and one women-owned business in the three bids solicited for each delegated spot purchase. The above constraints notwithstanding, the agency will increase its good-faith efforts by using an agency HUB Policy as the basis for obtaining the HUB participation goals.
FISCAL YEARS 2017-2021 WORKFORCE PLAN

Human resource investments are crucial to the continued efficiency and effectiveness of agency operations. In Texas government, as in the private sector, we must pay adequate wages if we expect to attract and retain quality employees. Our employees are our most valuable resource and Texas cannot afford to have less than the best. In addition to the initial investment of hiring qualified staff, the meeting of each employee’s ongoing professional development and training needs is also crucial to the success of agency operations.

Human resource investments, such as provision of up-to-date technology and ongoing training for agency staff, help position the agency as public and private sector employers compete for the same workforce pool. The agency has a distinct advantage in that it has a highly educated and qualified staff who carry out their responsibilities in an efficient and effective, customer-service oriented manner. This proactive, progressive work environment, along with the general reputation of the agency, has definitely been an asset when recruiting staff. However, the fact that state salaries are not competitive with those in the private sector continues to hinder recruiting of qualified staff.

I. Current Workforce Profile

A. Critical Workforce Skills

There are several critical skills and knowledge areas that are important to the agency’s ability to operate. Without these skills and knowledge areas, the TSBP could not provide basic business functions. They are as follows:

- extensive knowledge of healthcare systems and the practice of pharmacy and drug distribution, including legal and regulatory requirements;
- extensive knowledge of state administrative rules and regulations, including the management of human resources, budgetary, and appropriations process;
- extensive knowledge of information resource systems, including web-based applications;
- thorough knowledge of the Texas Administrative Procedures Act, rules of evidence, and other administrative and criminal laws and procedures;
- thorough knowledge of investigative procedures; and
- strong interpersonal skills and customer service.

Additionally, a license to practice pharmacy by the TSBP is a critical requirement for many of the agency’s positions, including the Executive Director/Secretary.
B. Workforce Demographics

The following Table 1 profiles the agency’s workforce as of August 31, 2015. The TSBP workforce is comprised of 26% males and 74% females. In addition, 59% of our employees are over the age of 40 and 45% of our employees have less than five year’s agency service. These percentages are high enough to warrant strong training programs to ensure our employees are able to assume key positions in the event of unexpected turnover.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workforce Breakdown</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Agency Tenure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 20 yrs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-39 yrs</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44 yrs</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45+ yrs</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Tenure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4 yrs</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9 yrs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14 yrs</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19 yrs</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24 yrs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29 yrs</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34 yrs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35+ yrs</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The agency's overall workforce profile, as shown in Table 2, indicates that the agency needs to increase its efforts to recruit and retain qualified minority applicants at all levels of job categories.

Table 2*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency EEO Data</th>
<th>WHITE M</th>
<th>BLACK M</th>
<th>HISPANIC M</th>
<th>OTHER M</th>
<th>TOTAL M</th>
<th>GRAND TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrators</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-Prof</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin Support</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data reflects actual staff as of 8/31/15.

C. Employee Turnover

Agency employee turnover increased from 5% in FY2009 to 11.4% in FY2010 and again increased to 12.9% in FY2013, the majority citing “better pay/benefits” as the reason for leaving the agency. The turnover rate dropped in FY2015 to 9.8% which is better than the overall state of Texas turnover rate of 18.9%.
D. Retirement Eligibility

![Projected Turnover with Retirees](chart)

II. Future Workforce Profile

One key factor that continues to affect the ability of the agency to serve and protect the public interest is the increased demand for agency services in every area of its operation. Dramatic increases in the demand for licensing, enforcement, and information services are well documented throughout the Strategic Plan and in the agency's budget requests. This continued increase in demand for services, together with the increase in the complex nature of modern health and pharmaceutical care, continues to tax the agency's ability to respond to future challenges.

III. Gap Analysis

After analyzing the workforce information, TSBP has determined there are two primary gaps between the agency’s workforce supply and demand that must be addressed.

- Key positions in management, including the Executive Director/Secretary position, are not being targeted for succession planning although three of the five management staff has been identified as eligible for retirement immediately.

- Historically, TSBP has not been able to attract and retain qualified pharmacists due to the inadequate funding of the agency by the legislature which results in the agency not being able to offer salaries that are competitive to those paid in the private sector.
## IV. STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Gap</strong></th>
<th><strong>Lack of Succession Planning for the Executive Director/Secretary and Key Management Staff</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal</strong></td>
<td>Develop a competent, well-trained workforce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rationale</strong></td>
<td>The training and development of current employees is critical to the success of the agency. TSBP should continue analyzing existing staff to determine which employees demonstrate the potential or interest to develop new competencies and assume new or modified positions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Action Steps** | - Request additional funding in the next legislative session to increase the compensation of the exempt line item position of Executive Director/Secretary.  
- Expand training programs to include programs such as effective leadership and contemporary management training skills, effective project management, and assessing and managing risks.  
- Conduct an assessment of the level of risk facing the agency regarding the potential loss of knowledge particularly in areas where loss is likely due to the imminent loss of key employees. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Gap</strong></th>
<th><strong>TSBP Cannot Attract and Retain Qualified Pharmacists</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal</strong></td>
<td>Become an employer of choice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rationale</strong></td>
<td>If the agency is to recruit and retain qualified pharmacists, TSBP must take affirmative actions with the legislature to increase agency appropriations to secure qualified pharmacists. TSBP will also continue to re-examine its organizational structure and requirements to see if other job classifications could meet the needs of these positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Action Step</strong></td>
<td>Request additional appropriations to enhance employee compensation, especially in the recruitment and retention of pharmacists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPORT ON CUSTOMER SERVICE

The *TSBP Customer Service Report for FY2014-2015*, was presented for review at the Pharmacy’s Board’s May 3, 2016, business meeting.

The Customer Service Report was submitted to the Governor's Office and the LBB on May 5, 2016.

The Customer Service Report is posted on the TSBP website at:

PHARMACY BOARD
EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL ASSESSMENT

TRENDS IN PHARMACY PRACTICE AND REGULATION

The Changing Focus of Pharmacy Practice

The following forces are forging rapid changes in our healthcare system:

- the aging of Texas' population;
- advances in drugs, devices, and drug dosage forms;
- managed care;
- the public demand for safety in the healthcare system;
- the emergence of alternative medicine; and
- economics.

These forces both drive and are driven by new governmental strategies and marketplace issues, and are causing an evolution in the practice of pharmacy. These factors are causing pharmacists to change the focus of their practice to one that is more patient-oriented, where the pharmacist provides the prescription product as well as other pharmaceutical care services to meet needs of patients.

Pharmacists have the knowledge and opportunity to help patients achieve better outcomes from drug therapy and, in turn, provide a significant cost savings to Texas' healthcare system. The cost of this pharmaceutical care can very likely be recovered from the savings it generates.

This outcome can be realized only if an environment is created by healthcare reform that recognizes that the savings are not likely to be generated at the pharmacist-patient level. The savings will be generated at the level of patients' therapeutic successes and the resulting reductions in hospitalizations, surgeries, repeated office visits, nursing home admissions, and prolonged illnesses that result from patients using their medications improperly.
The Texas Pharmacy Act recognizes this shift to a more patient-centered practice in the definition of the practice of pharmacy. This definition now includes activities associated with traditional dispensing of medication and:

- provision of any act or service necessary to provide pharmaceutical care;
- performance of drug therapy management under protocol of a physician (collaborative practice); and
- administration of immunizations or vaccinations under a physician's written protocol.

The Act defines *pharmaceutical care* as the provision of drug therapy and other pharmaceutical services intended to assist in the cure or prevention of a disease, elimination, or reduction of a patient's symptoms, or arresting or slowing of a disease process. These definitions make it clear that pharmacists need to be aware of, and committed to, the patients’ interests and the direct outcomes of their individual drug therapies.

Pharmacists must become participating members of the healthcare team and work collaboratively with physicians and other healthcare practitioners to provide total care to the patient. This process is currently occurring in Texas in that many pharmacists provide expanded patient care services such as drug therapy management, administration of immunizations, disease state management, disease screening, and health promotion and disease prevention.

Although the Texas Pharmacy Act currently allows pharmacists to perform drug therapy management under written protocol of a physician and to administer immunizations and vaccines, there are limitations to these authorities. During 2009, the Texas Legislature passed two bills that eliminated some of the limitations. In the case of drug therapy management under written protocol of a physician, pharmacists may initiate and modify drug therapy of patients but pharmacists are not allowed to sign written prescriptions in the same manner as physician assistants and advanced nurse practitioners are allowed. Senate Bill 381 passed by the 2009 Texas Legislature allows a physician to delegate the signing of a prescription to a pharmacist *IF* the pharmacist practices in a hospital, hospital-based clinic, or an academic health care institution.

Likewise, prior to the passage of House Bill 1409 by the 2009 Texas Legislature, the authority to administer medications was limited to immunizations and vaccines, and the patient must be 14 years of age or older. House Bill 1409 reduced the limitations by amending the law to allow pharmacists to administer influenza vaccine (only) to a patient over seven years of age without an established physician-patient relationship. While the passage of these bills eliminated some of the barriers, further amendments to the act are necessary to remove the restrictions to allow pharmacists to more fully provide immunization services to patients. Expanding immunization services is beneficial to: patients since pharmacist/pharmacies are the most accessible health care
provider; and to the public health since as more individuals are immunized, more will be protected against the occurrence of these diseases. In addition, for pharmacists to continue providing these expanded services, the buyers and sellers of healthcare must recognize and understand the pharmacist’s value to the patient. In 2015, the Texas Legislature passed House Bill 1550 that amended the Texas Pharmacy Act to allow pharmacists, in an emergency, to administer epinephrine to a patient using an auto-injector device.

The buyers and sellers of healthcare will continue to scrutinize the system to ensure that care and product are being provided in the most cost-effective manner. The role of pharmacists will be viewed in the context of what level of care and services a patient receives. Financiers will be monitoring pharmacy practice in all settings to determine if pharmacists' services are cost-beneficial or if these services could be provided at reduced costs (e.g., could pharmacist services be provided by another healthcare professional?).

**Promoting Patient Safety through Continuous Quality Improvement Programs**

Pharmacists must work with other healthcare professionals to reduce medication errors to assure the safety of the healthcare system. The safety of the healthcare system has been the focus of numerous reports including a series of reports from the Institute of Medicine (IOM). The first report was issued in 1999 titled: *To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System.* This report identified medical errors as a significant problem and that medical errors kill 44,000 people in U.S. hospitals each year and cause more than 7,000 deaths annually, both in and out of hospitals. This study recognized the value of the pharmacist and stated *the pharmacist has become an essential resource . . . access to pharmaceutical information must be available all the time.* Additionally, one of the IOM strategies calls for increasing pharmacy participation in medical rounds and in other areas to decrease the potential for error. The report recognized that errors were system and not individual failures and encouraged the use of continuous quality improvement (CQI) programs to prevent errors.

In 1999 Texas became the first state to pass legislation establishing pharmacy peer review committees for the establishment of CQI programs in pharmacies. The bill specifies that a pharmacy peer review committee may be established to:

- Evaluate the quality of pharmacy services or the competence of pharmacists;
- Suggest improvements in pharmacy systems to enhance patient care; and
- Investigate disagreements or complaints, determine facts, and make recommendations or issue decisions in a written report.

Most importantly, this legislation makes the records of a pharmacy peer review committee confidential and not subject to disclosure, discovery, or subpoena. Since passage of the peer review legislation, the Board has used this tool by ordering pharmacies, which have come before the Board for dispensing errors, to implement a CQI program that includes “peer review,” for the identification and prevention of
dispensing errors. The Board has no studies or data to indicate that pharmacies that establish CQI programs make fewer dispensing errors. However, pharmacies that have implemented such programs have indicated that the establishment of such programs has allowed management to identify problem areas and may have reduced the occurrence of serious errors. For example, one pharmacy chain used the data to determine that 80 percent of their errors occurred in 20 percent of the stores. This pharmacy chain implemented changes in these stores and dramatically reduced errors chain-wide.

Since the passage of this legislation, the Board has ordered numerous pharmacies to implement CQI programs. However, because implementation of a CQI program is voluntary, not all pharmacies have implemented CQI programs. Therefore, the Board has suggested that the Pharmacy Act be amended to allow the Board to mandate all pharmacies implement CQI programs.

**Pharmacist’s Continuing Competency**

In 2001, a second IOM report titled “Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century” was published. This report identified assessment of the competence of a healthcare provider as a gap in the regulatory scheme. The report states the following:

*In a field with a continually expanding knowledge base, there is no mechanism for ensuring that practitioners remain up to date with current best practices. Responsibility for assessing competence is dispersed among multiple authorities.*

Because of this gap, the Board may need to explore ways to ensure pharmacists’ competence through periodic testing. An alternative to this testing may be for national and state professional pharmacy organizations to work together to develop other appropriate methods for assessing the continued competence of pharmacists. Recently a number of national pharmacy organizations have adopted policies stressing the importance of continuing professional development (CPD). In a CPD model, a pharmacist would:

- Evaluate his or her personal needs and interests;
- Develop a plan that will foster his or her professional growth and development;
- Implement the plan;
- Document participation and execution; and
- Evaluate and refine the plan on an ongoing basis.

CPD may include traditional continuing education (CE) and other learning/ work activities.
Further validation for the use of CPD occurred in December 2009, when the Institute of Medicine (IOM) published a report titled: *Redesigning Continuing Education in the Health Professions*. This report proposes a new vision for continuing education that will be based on CPD, in which learning takes place over a lifetime and stretches beyond the classroom to the point of care. The IOM report provides the following broad messages for all CE for Health Professionals as follows.

- There are major flaws in the way CE is conducted, financed, regulated, and evaluated. Among various problems, health professionals and their employers tend to focus on meeting regulatory requirements rather than identifying personal knowledge gaps and finding programs to address them. Many of the regulatory organizations that oversee CE also tend not to look beyond setting and enforcing minimal, narrowly defined competencies.

- The science underpinning CE for health professionals is fragmented and underdeveloped. These shortcomings have made it difficult, if not impossible, to identify effective educational methods and to integrate those methods into coordinated, and broad-based programs that meet the needs of the diverse range of health professionals.

- Continuing education efforts should bring health professionals from various disciplines together in carefully tailored learning environments. As team-based health care delivery becomes increasingly important, such inter-professional efforts will enable participants to learn both individually and as collaborative members of a team, with a common goal of improving patient outcomes.

- A new, comprehensive vision of professional development is needed to replace the culture that now envelops continuing education in health care. Such a vision will be key in guiding efforts to address flaws in current CE efforts and to ensure that all health professionals engage effectively in a process of lifelong learning aimed squarely at improving patient care and population health.
Increased Use of Technology in the Practice of Pharmacy

The use of new technologies will continue to increase in the practice of pharmacy over the next five years. Current, new, and anticipated technologies include the expanded use of computers, smart phones, tablet computers, robotics, biometrics, bar codes, RFID (radio frequency identification), nanotechnology, voice recognition, telecommunication, automated prescription kiosks, and the Internet. It is clear that technology has the capacity to enhance the provision of pharmaceutical services and provides opportunities to maximize the use of staff. It also creates some special challenges for the Board. Many issues cross jurisdictional boundaries between state agencies, federal agencies, and even international agencies. The Board must find ways to support the increased use of technologies that enable pharmacists to serve the public health, safety, and welfare. This includes finding ways to balance productivity with safety, automation with accountability, and pharmacy service with patient confidentiality.

It is clear that appropriate, coordinated use of new technologies is necessary in pharmacy practice. New technology is appearing in many other areas of pharmacy practice as well. Although there is overlap, this discussion is divided into the following areas:

- receipt and data entry of prescriptions and patient information;
- storage of prescription information;
- delivery of pharmacy services;
- accountability for pharmacy services; and
- use of the Internet.

(1) Receipt and Data Entry of Prescriptions and Patient Information

The profession will continue to seek ways to automate the prescription transmission process between practitioners and pharmacies. Besides written and verbally communicated prescriptions, the Board has allowed prescriptions to be electronically transmitted between practitioners and pharmacies for many years. The electronic transmission of prescriptions is growing rapidly. In January 2016, Surescripts reported that the number of prescriptions transmitted electronically is approximately 6.5 billion. This number is expected to increase greatly with more and more practitioners adopting electronic prescribing. Although electronic prescribing may reduce dispensing errors caused by illegible handwriting, there are other types of errors that may occur (e.g., selecting the wrong drug from a drop-down list).
Data entry of prescription information into a pharmacy’s computer system has traditionally occurred via a computer keyboard at the dispensing pharmacy. Electronic transmission technology allows prescription data entry into a pharmacy’s computer by any of these methods to occur at locations other than the dispensing pharmacy. Off-site data entry is currently being used as a way to alleviate some of the pharmacist’s workload issues at the pharmacy level. It is important for the Board to monitor the changes in the use of technology and keep the Board’s rules current to ensure that the Board is able to identify the pharmacists and pharmacy technicians involved in the process of dispensing a prescription as discussed in (4) below.

(2) Storage of Prescription Information

Currently, a pharmacy’s prescription records must be maintained at the dispensing pharmacy. With the centralization of pharmacy services discussed under Delivery of Pharmacy Services (below), there is a desire to centralize prescription records. This would allow a single prescription record to be accessed by multiple pharmacies for dispensing purposes without actual transfer of the prescription between pharmacies. As a result, patients would have easier access to their prescriptions. There also exists smart card technology, where a computer chip is contained in a card carried by the patient. This card could carry patient and insurance information and the patient’s prescription information. However, at both the state and federal levels, these practices raise recordkeeping, confidentiality, and accountability concerns. Cooperation and agreement between federal and state agencies will be required as the Board addresses recordkeeping issues.

Some entrepreneurs have gone a step further and set up centralized prescription and patient information centers that are not licensed as pharmacies. The Board believes these types of facilities should be licensed as pharmacies to protect the public and have created a Class G Pharmacy that establishes standards for entities that centrally process prescription drug or medication orders.

Pharmacies are also using electronic recordkeeping systems to capture an electronic visual image of a prescription drug order. These systems save space and may improve a pharmacy’s efficiency by reducing time spent filing hard-copy prescriptions. These scanned images allow a prescription to be viewed from alternative locations outside of the pharmacy where the record is stored. Currently, Board rules allow for the electronic storage of prescription records. However, federal regulations do not allow for the electronic storage of controlled substance prescriptions.
(3)  Delivery of Pharmacy Services

The Board will need to monitor and address entirely new methods for delivery of pharmacy services and respond with requirements and enforcement strategies to protect public health.

- **Remote Dispensing Systems:** As robotic technology develops and entrepreneurs look for ways to market their products, there will be a push to place remotely controlled dispensing systems in satellite locations. In the past, these remote locations may or may not have held pharmacy licenses or any other license that allowed possession of stock prescription drugs. However, in 2001, the Texas Legislature passed of Senate Bill 98 and Senate Bill 65 that requires remote facilities to be registered by the Board.

  The Board has adopted rules to implement these laws to allow a:

  - Texas pharmacy to place an automated dispensing system that is remotely controlled by a pharmacist in a nursing home. A drug ordered for a patient is released only after the pharmacist has reviewed the order and conducted a drug regimen review. Other potential locations for remote dispensing systems include assisted living centers, personal care homes, adult day care centers, jails, and detention centers, offsite clinics associated with hospitals, and even schools.

  - Pharmacy to provide remote prescription services to medically underserved areas using a telepharmacy system. Pharmacists monitor dispensing of prepackaged unit of use prescription drugs to patients at the remote location. The pharmacist supervises the activities at the remote site with a telepharmacy system that uses audio and video, still image capture, and/or store and forward technology. The pharmacist also provides drug use review and patient counseling by electronic means.

  As telepharmacy systems become more accepted, there will be pressure to expand the types of sites that may use tele-pharmacy. The Board must monitor these initiatives to ensure that pharmacists are in control of the dispensing process and patients are receiving good pharmaceutical care.

- **Centralized Prescription Dispensing:** As the number of prescriptions dispensed by a pharmacy increase, many chain pharmacies are establishing centralized dispensing centers where prescriptions are ordered through community pharmacies but filled in the highly automated central location. Prescriptions are then delivered to the community pharmacy for pick-up by the patient.

  This process takes some of the dispensing workload out of the community pharmacy and places it in a very efficient automated pharmacy. In November 2002, the Board adopted rules to allow centralized dispensing.
(4) **Accountability for Pharmacy Services**

The provision of pharmacy services has become fragmented with multiple personnel, licensed and unlicensed, assisting in the dispensing process. Centralized recordkeeping and multi-pharmacy involvement in a single dispensing process make it harder to establish individual responsibility. Although advances in technology may fragment the dispensing process, technology can also be used to enhance individual accountability. As the Board addresses technology issues in the future, it must also address individual accountability for decisions made in the dispensing and information provision processes.

(5) **Internet Pharmacies**

The Internet has received a tremendous amount of attention over the past few years. Internet pharmacies sprang up almost overnight. Mostly, legitimate Internet pharmacies are simply mail service pharmacies that use the Internet to advertise their pharmaceutical services. This has led to several ancillary issues. Not all Internet pharmacies are licensed. Some entrepreneurs use the ever-changing fluidity of the Internet to offer prescription drugs illegally, closing up shop after a very short period only to appear again under a different facade. In addition, since the Internet is global in scope, an Internet pharmacy, which appears to be located in a city in another state, may in fact be located in Switzerland, or some other country. The issue of illegal sales of prescription drugs through the Internet crosses local, state, and international boundaries and will require the cooperation of many state, federal, and international agencies to resolve. The Board must continue to monitor this issue.

6. **Physician Owned Pharmacies and Physician Dispensing**

- **Physician Owned Pharmacies:** Beginning in 2013, a number of individuals have engaged in promoting pharmacy ownership to physicians and other prescribers. These promotions, some by pharmacists, encourage physicians to invest in the ownership of pharmacies that will dispense the physicians’ prescriptions to their patients. One of the schemes specifically promotes the ownership of pharmacies that compound prescriptions and even certain types of products such as pain creams. It appears that these promotional offerings are carefully structured to comply with both federal and state laws that regulate physician ownership of entities to which the physician may refer patients. These promotions are seemingly becoming more numerous and aggressive. In April 2014, the House Public Health Committee held a public hearing that discussed these types of arrangements and expressed concern about the growth of these pharmacy ownership promotions to physicians.
The Board of Pharmacy has no provisions to limit the ownership of pharmacies; however, the Board does have a rule that prohibits a pharmacist from “sharing or offering to share with practitioner compensation received from an individual provided pharmacy services by a pharmacist.” Even though the Board has a rule prohibiting the sharing of compensation with physicians, investigation of these types of cases was extremely hampered since the Board was prohibited from inspecting financial, sales and pricing data records in a pharmacy, without the pharmacy’s specific authorization to do so. However, during the 2015 Legislative Session, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 460 that allows the Board authority to inspect financial records “only in the course” of the investigation of a specific complaint. This new authority will allow the Board to investigate complaints that allege “sharing or offering to share with practitioner compensation received from an individual provided pharmacy services by a pharmacist.”

• **Physician Dispensing:** In several of last Legislative Sessions, bills have been introduced that would allow physicians to dispense certain “aesthetic pharmaceuticals” such as Bimatoprost (Latisse), Hydroquinone (Lustra, Claripel), and Tretinoin (Retin A). During the 2013 session, a bill passed both the Senate and the House and was sent to the Governor for signature. However, Governor Perry vetoed this bill and recognized in his veto proclamation the important role of the pharmacist and the Board of Pharmacy by stating the following:

> *SB 227 would circumvent existing safeguards for the dispensing of certain prescription cosmetic drugs by allowing physicians and optometrist to sell these medications directly. It is the role of the pharmacists – who are trained specifically in drug interactions, side effects and allergies – to dispense the medications. Additionally, the State Board of Pharmacy has the authority to inspect pharmacies to ensure drugs are stored securely and at safe temperatures.*

During the 2015 Legislative Session several other bills were introduced that would have allowed physicians to dispense. Even though none of these bills passed, it should be noted that some of these bills expanded the number of drugs a physician could dispense well beyond those drugs listed in previous bills and one completely eliminated the prohibition against physicians dispensing prescription drugs to their patients and charging for those drugs. The Board and the profession may need to review the issue to see if there might be a way to allow a limited dispensing in physician’s office provided oversight of the dispensing by a pharmacist is provided and important patient protection is provided through regulatory review of this practice by the Board of Pharmacy.
Pharmacy Personnel and Working Conditions

Current stressors in the pharmacy environment include the evolving roles and duties of registered pharmacy technicians, and working conditions [e.g., increased volume of prescriptions; working long hours; increased use and availability of technology; and increased professional responsibilities (e.g., patient counseling and drug regimen reviews)].

Expanded use of automation and competent pharmacy technicians should help to reduce the stressors in the pharmacy. However, the strategic challenge for the Texas State Board of Pharmacy (TSBP) during the next five years will be to review its rules and procedures and to collaborate with other agencies and entities to improve working conditions in the pharmacy environment.

(1) Pharmacy Technicians

The addition of the registration of pharmacy technicians and pharmacy technician trainees has more than doubled the number of persons/entities licensed by TSBP. The more than 60,000 pharmacy technicians and trainees the agency registers have had a dramatic effect on the agency’s operations and the impact on the profession is expected to continue for the following reasons.

- **Increase in the Demand for Pharmacy Technicians:** Career opportunities for pharmacy technicians are expected to expand rapidly over the next few years. The Bureau of Labor Statistics’ 2014 report estimates employment for pharmacy technicians will increase 9% from 2014 to 2024, faster than the average for all occupations. This coupled with current and expanding duties being delegated to pharmacy technicians is likely have a substantial impact on the number of pharmacy technician and technician trainee applications received and processed by TSBP.

- **Demand for Expanding the Duties of Pharmacy Technicians:** The Board is continually receiving requests from various organizations to increase the duties of pharmacy technicians and/or to allow pharmacists to supervise more pharmacy technicians. In 2009, the 81st Legislature Session passed House Bill 1924 that greatly expanded the authority of pharmacy technicians to perform certain duties without the direct supervision of pharmacists in rural hospitals. House Bill 1924 defined a rural hospital as a hospital of 75 beds or less located in a county with a population of 50,000 or less, or that had been designated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services as a critical access hospital, rural referral center, or sole community hospital. House Bill 1924 allowed the work of a registered pharmacy technician to be verified by a nurse, or practitioner, or a pharmacist by remote access. The bill also allows registered pharmacy technicians to perform the following duties without the supervision of a pharmacist:
enter medications orders and drug distribution information into a data processing system;
prepare, package, or label a prescription drug according to a medication order if a licensed nurse or practitioner verifies the accuracy of the order before administration of the drug to a patient;
fill a medication cart used in the rural hospital;
distribute routine orders for stock supplies to patient care areas;
access and restock automated medication supply cabinets; and
perform any other duty specified by the Board by rule.

Education of Pharmacy Technicians: In 2013, the Pharmacy Technician Certification Board (PTCB) announced changes to their certification program that will require individuals to have completed an American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) accredited training program prior to taking the PTCB examination by 2020. In early 2013, ASHP and the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE) collaborated to form the Pharmacy Technician Accreditation Commission (PTAC). PTAC will serve as the accrediting review committee for pharmacy technician education and training programs. This new entity will add standardization to pharmacy technician education and training programs.

TSBP’s mission is “to promote, preserve, and protect the public health, safety, and welfare by fostering the provision of quality pharmaceutical care to the citizens of Texas . . . .” To this end, TSBP must ensure that the training of pharmacy technicians supports the scope of services that they are expected to perform. Under the current law, technicians only have to have a high school diploma or high school equivalency certificate or be working to achieve an equivalent diploma or certificate. TSBP may want to seek legislation that would require a pharmacy technician to possess a minimum education beyond the high school diploma or equivalency.

A Pharmacy Technician Task Force was convened by TSBP in November 2013. The Task Force was charged to:

• review the current laws and rules relating to pharmacy technicians in Texas;
• review literature and studies regarding the changing roles and duties of pharmacists and how these changes may impact the role of pharmacy technicians; and
• make recommendations to the Board for any changes to the current pharmacy technician laws and rules to allow pharmacy technicians to assist pharmacists in providing safe and quality pharmaceutical care to the citizens of Texas.

More specifically, the Task Force was asked to review minimum education requirements, duties of pharmacy technicians, and ratio of pharmacy technicians to pharmacists. The Task Force held two meetings and presented its report to the Board at its May 6, 2014, business meeting. Included in this report were several suggestions for the expansion of duties that could be performed by pharmacy technicians in both community and hospital pharmacies. The Board and the profession will review these suggestions and consider making changes to the Pharmacy Act and rules to implement these suggestions.

(2) Working Conditions

For many years, working conditions in pharmacies has been a major issue in Texas, as well as in the nation. At its meeting held in February 1999, TSBP approved a position statement regarding working conditions. In the position statement, TSBP:

• encouraged all employers to provide reasonable breaks during a regular work day for meals and rest;

• discouraged employers from establishing working conditions that tend to increase the stress on dispensing pharmacists, such as setting quotas on the number of prescriptions that a pharmacist is required to dispense per hour in order to keep from being terminated or to achieve a favorable performance evaluation; and

• encouraged increased communication between employees and management.

Consumers and pharmacists file complaints in which they express concerns that inadequately staffed prescription departments are the reason why pharmacists commit dispensing/medication errors. Research has shown that the causes of dispensing errors involve numerous factors, but are not necessarily a result of increased prescription volume. Accordingly, TSBP has not set a quota or limit on the number of prescriptions a pharmacist can fill per hour or day. Although many would say that increasing the ratio of technicians to pharmacist would provide a “quick fix” to the staffing problem, many pharmacists say that they could not adequately supervise additional technicians and believe that an increased ratio could have negative effects on patient care.
In May 2013, the Board proposed rules to set the ratio of pharmacists to pharmacy technicians at 1 to 4. However, in August 2013, the Board voted to withdraw the proposed rules and to propose rules to eliminate the ratio of pharmacists to pharmacy technicians. At the November 2013 meeting, the Board held a public hearing on the proposed rules to eliminate the ratio of pharmacist to pharmacy technicians. The Board received more than 200 written comments on the rules and numerous oral comments at the hearing. After a review of the comments that indicated the comments were split almost equally between those favoring no ratio to those favoring maintaining a ratio, the Board voted to withdraw the rules. After the vote to withdraw the rules, the Board then voted at the November 2013 meeting to re-propose rules that increased the pharmacist-to-technician ratio from 1:3 to 1:4 and increased the pharmacist-to-technician ratio in a call center setting where prescription drugs are neither stored nor dispensed from of 1:6 to 1:8. The Board adopted these proposed rules at the February 2014 meeting and the rules setting the ratio in a community pharmacy to 1:4 and in a call center pharmacy to 1:8.

At the November 2013 meeting, the Board also voted to establish a Task Force to review issues related to pharmacy technicians, including the pharmacist to pharmacy technician ratio. This Task Force made its recommendations to the Board at the May 6, 2014 meeting. Included in these recommendations is statement that the Pharmacy Technician Task Force supports elimination of the pharmacist to technician ratio.

**Maintain the Agency’s Leadership Position in Pharmacy Practice Regulation and Establish a Key Leadership Position for Addressing Public Needs**

The Board of Pharmacy needs to continue its partnership with the public and profession to promote the highest level of pharmacy services possible. In addition, opportunities exist for the Board to continue its national leadership role in progressive regulation. While being “out-front” is never comfortable, the pharmacy profession in Texas has come to expect the Board to act in a key leadership position while addressing public needs.

The Board of Pharmacy must be visionary in order to stay on the cutting edge of regulation. The Board must continue to play a public advocacy role as it relates to educating the public about the value of the pharmacist’s role as a vital member of the healthcare team, especially in light of the major challenges facing pharmacy today. These challenges include the following:

- increasing demand for affordable healthcare services;
- the growing aging population;
- increased consumer demand for prescription drugs;
- rising availability of prescription drugs over the Internet; and
- disaster planning and response.
In order to accomplish these goals and still maintain its position of strength, the agency must identify areas for growth and opportunity, as well as the challenges facing the agency. Additionally, the agency must aggressively pursue avenues to retain or preferably increase the number of highly qualified personnel employed while continuing to implement quality management practices. Given the pace of technological advances, the agency must also carefully encourage and recognize the use of technology that will allow the public easier access to information, while at the same time not cause undue reporting requirements or workload constraints on the agency or practitioners. Finally, it is important for the agency to strike the appropriate balance in achieving its public protection mandate yet be flexible enough to develop regulations to facilitate pharmacy practice changes.

(1) Value of Pharmaceutical Care

The Board should continue to play a public advocacy role as it relates to educating the public about the value of pharmaceutical care, including the pharmacist’s role as a vital member of the healthcare team.

- The increasing demand for affordable healthcare services may cause consumers to seek medications from nontraditional pharmacy sources. Consumers should be educated not only on the positive facts like the importance of vaccines, dietary supplements, and prevention of medication errors, but also warned about the negatives such as the proliferation of misinformation (e.g., Internet scams and e-mails offering prescription drugs without a prescription) and the dangers of lookalike/sound alike products.

- Consideration must be given to the dramatic increase in the state’s aging population and the associated growth in prescription volume. Not only is the current population aging, but also Texas is becoming home to an increasingly large number of retirees. Aging consumers often have decreased cognitive skills, eyesight, and mobility, which lead to increased demand on all healthcare providers. Consequently, as the senior population increases so will the workload associated with a higher volume of prescriptions. This will have a significant impact on pharmacists and pharmacy personnel to meet the consumers’ needs.

- Consumers, as well as healthcare professionals, are seeking information and advice concerning alternative medicines, including herbal and other nutritional supplements. Efforts should be made to incorporate complete drug histories into patient charts, including herbal medicines and other nonprescription medication products, to avoid the potential risk of an interaction with a prescription drug already prescribed. As more federal scrutiny and potential regulation of these agents occurs, it may be logical that the regulation of these drugs would fall to the Pharmacy Board. Pharmacists who are experienced in evaluating clinical studies and other types of substantiating health information, especially related to safety and effectiveness, are in a unique position to advice consumers.
(2) Preparedness for Public Health Emergencies

The Board should focus on preparedness for public health emergencies where pharmacist participation is crucial. Pharmacies and pharmacists have vital roles in front-line defense in the event of a public health emergency, such as an act of bioterrorism, natural disaster, or widespread disease such as a pandemic influenza. Pharmacists must be ready to be positioned to provide emergency care and medication delivery in response to such unplanned events. Currently, pharmacies are deeply involved in the administration of seasonal flu immunizations, placing pharmacy on the front line of healthcare in the nation. The immediate distribution of emergency refills of critical prescriptions, and assistance with the distribution of vaccines, antidotes, and other pharmaceutical agents is vital to ensure the continued safety of the public. This will require specialized knowledge, advance planning, and integration of local, state, and federal resources to achieve quick mobilization. Pharmacy is a key stakeholder in assuring appropriate and adequate response to disasters and as such should be present and a participant in all governmental preparedness meetings.

(3) Partnerships with Federal Agencies and Other State Agencies and Boards

The Board should expand its partnerships with federal agencies, as well as other state agencies and boards. This can result in the sharing of key information, data sharing, training, as well as effective enforcement and compliance.

An example of this partnership included the Board’s joint investigation with the US Food and Drug Administration, Drug Enforcement Administration, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Internal Revenue Service, US Department Social Security Administration, US Department of Veteran Affairs, and the Texas Department of State Health Services. This internet fraud case involved more than $200 million in fraudulently obtained pharmaceuticals and resulted in the arrest and conviction of 19 individuals in 2005-2007, including one pharmacist. These expanded partnerships with other law enforcement agencies will be especially crucial as the trend toward the abuse of prescription drugs continues to grow.

A 2010 study conducted by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMSA) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention concluded that “visits to hospital emergency departments involving nonmedical use of prescription narcotic pain relievers more than doubled, rising 111 percent, between 2004 and 2008.” The study used data from SAMHSA’s Drug Abuse Warning Network (DAWN) emergency department system. It examined emergency department visits for nonmedical use of legal drugs, such as using them without a prescription.
In a June 2010 news release about the study, Office of National Drug Control Policy Director Gil Kerlikowske stated, "The abuse of prescription drugs is our nation's fastest-growing drug problem. And this new study shows it is a problem that affects men and women, people under 21, and those over 21."

A July 2014 article in the Center for Disease Control and Prevention publication "VitalSigns" presented the following information concerning abuse of opioid painkillers:

- Each day, 46 people die from an overdose of prescription painkillers in the US.

- Health care providers wrote 259 million prescriptions for painkillers in 2012, enough for every American adult to have a bottle of pills.

The article presented the following suggestions for states to combat abuse of prescription painkillers. States can:

- Consider ways to increase use of prescription drug monitoring programs, which are state-run databases that track prescriptions for painkillers and can help find problems in overprescribing. Use of these programs is greater when they make data available in real-time, are universal (used by all prescribers for all controlled substances), and are actively managed (for example, send alerts to prescribers when problems are identified).

- Consider policy options (including laws and regulation) relating to pain clinics (facilities that specialize in pain treatment) to reduce prescribing practices that are risky to patients.

(4) Transfer of Programs to the Agency.

Senate Bill 195 passed by the 2015 Texas Legislature, amended the Texas Controlled Substances Act to Transfer the Texas Prescription Monitoring Program and the rulemaking authority for controlled substances from the Texas Department of Public Safety (DPS) to the Texas State Board of Pharmacy (Board). Senate Bill 195 specified that:

Effective on or after 6/20/2015 the Board has the authority to:

- Adopt rules to implement the Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP) and certain other provisions related to prescriptions in the Controlled Substances Act (Sections 481.003(a), 481.075, 481.076(c), 481.0761(a) and (g), Sections 481.073 (Communication of Prescriptions by Agent), 481.074 (Prescriptions) and 481.352;
- Sign a contract with a vendor to operate the PMP; and
- Call a meeting of the Prescription Monitoring Work Group as established in the Controlled Substances Act.
On 9/1/2016 the following become effective:

- The PMP is transferred from DPS to TSBP;
- The Board shall establish a program to fund the PMP though a surcharge on the licenses of persons authorized to access the PMP; and
- The Controlled Substance Registration program is abolished.

The Board has reviewed the existing system used by the DPS for the prescription monitoring system and has determined that this system is inadequate to provide pharmacists and prescribes with the information they need to make informed decisions regarding the prescribing and dispensing of controlled substance prescriptions. The Board has awarded a contract to Apriss to be the vendor for the new prescription monitoring program for Texas.

The Board believes that the move of the prescription monitoring program to the new platform provided by Apriss will increase the tools that pharmacists and physicians may use to make better decisions when dispensing controlled substances.

(5) The Board should continue to be a leader in the growth and evolution of the profession

The Board should continue to be a leader in the growth and evolution of the profession by adopting regulations and encouraging legislation that allows pharmacists to use the full scope of their knowledge, skills, and abilities. Innovation will continue to be necessary in order to improve pharmacy systems to enhance patient care, in developing new methods and systems to monitor compliance with existing laws and rules, and/or expand compliance initiatives around the state. It is important to plan appropriately and address the growing volume of prescriptions and the additional professional services that pharmacy can provide as a key member of the healthcare team.

(6) Protection of the Citizens of Texas

In order for the Board to continue to protect the citizens of Texas, it must have adequate funds and staff. The almost 60,000 pharmacy technicians and trainees licensed by the agency have had a dramatic effect on the agency's operations. Of particular concern to the agency is the growth in the number of disciplinary orders entered by the agency and the continuing growth in the number of complaints received. In FY2003, the fiscal year prior to the registration of pharmacy technicians, the agency received 1,893 jurisdictional complaints, closed 1,850 jurisdictional complaints, and entered 213 disciplinary orders. In FY2014, the agency received 5,561 complaints, closed 5,606 complaints, and entered 604 disciplinary orders. It has been extremely challenging for the agency to handle this phenomenal growth during the past 12 years: 194% increase in the number of complaints received; 203% increase in the number of complaints closed; and 184% increase in the number of disciplinary orders entered.
In late 2012, the New England Compounding Center in Massachusetts distributed a compounded sterile preparation that was contaminated with a fungus. This product was distributed to 23 different states, including Texas. More than 751 individuals have become ill and as of January 2014, 64 patients who received injections of this contaminated product have died (Note: Only two individuals in Texas received the product and neither patient had serious adverse effects).

After learning of this serious issue in a sterile compounding pharmacy, TSBP conducted an extensive review of the rules related to sterile compounding and the licensing, inspection and enforcement of these rules. During the 2013 Legislative Session, State Senator and Pharmacist Leticia Van de Putte was successful in obtaining significant amendments to the Texas Pharmacy Act related to sterile compounding. These amendments give the Board of Pharmacy the authority to:

- inspect an out-of-state sterile compounding pharmacy and require them to pay for the inspection;
- require an inspection prior to opening a sterile compounding pharmacy;
- not renew the license of a pharmacy that compounds sterile products unless it has been inspected as required by the board and the pharmacy has reimbursed the Board for the costs of the inspection; and
- allow TSBP to accept an inspection report issued by the licensing board in the home state of the pharmacy if:
  - the board determines that the other state has comparable standards and regulations applicable to sterile compounding pharmacies, including standards and regulations related to health and safety;
  - the sterile compounding pharmacy provides to the board any requested documentation related to the inspection; and
  - the sterile compounding pharmacy notifies the Board immediately of any adverse effects reported to the pharmacy or that are known by the pharmacy to be potentially attributable to a sterile preparation compounded by the pharmacy and not later than 24 hours after the pharmacy issues a recall for a sterile preparation compounded by the pharmacy.

In addition, TSBP was successful in obtaining the authority and the funding to hire five new compliance officers/inspectors and an additional administrative assistant to support these inspectors. These additional five inspectors will bring the total number of inspectors to twelve and will allow TSBP to inspect...
pharmacies that compound sterile preparations much more frequently to ensure the safety of these facilities. The agency must continue to monitor pharmacies that compound sterile pharmaceuticals closely to ensure that the pharmacies are preparing sterile compounds properly.

On November 27, 2013, the U.S. Drug Quality and Security Act was signed into law by President Obama. This law removes the advertising provisions of Section 503A of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) that were declared unconstitutional in 2002. With these provisions removed, this portion of the FD&C Act passed in 1997 will now become effective. Section 503A exempts pharmacy compounding from compliance three specific sections of the FD&C Act that manufacturers are required to meet (FDA approval of products prior to marketing; Compliance with Current Good Manufacturing Practices and labeling with adequate directions for use). This act makes compounding pursuant to a prescription by pharmacists legal under the FD&C Act.

The law also adds a new section 503B to the FD&C Act. Section 503B allows facilities that are compounding sterile pharmaceuticals not pursuant to individual prescriptions and “outsourcing” these products to other entities to be registered as “outsourcing facilities” rather than as manufacturers. An outsourcing facility will also qualify for exemptions from certain provisions of the FD&C Act including those requiring FDA approval of products and the requirement to label products with adequate directions for use. However, these entities will not be exempt from complying with Current Good Manufacturing Practices.

In early April 2014, TSBP staff met with staff of the Texas Department of State Health Services to discuss the regulation of Outsourcing Facilities in Texas and changes that may be necessary in the Texas Pharmacy and Texas Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Acts to implement the provisions of the federal Drug Quality and Security Act. TSBP must continue these discussions and expand them to include the compounding community so that appropriate modifications in Texas laws and rules can be made to protect the safety of the citizens of Texas.

(7) Consolidation of Health Licensing Agencies

In both the 2009 and 2011 Legislative Sessions bills were introduced that would have consolidate the health licensing agencies into one large agency. This consolidation would have had a dramatic impact on the operation of the agency in that it would likely include less or no control by TSBP in developing and establishing its budget and loss of direct control of some agency functions such as licensing.

A possible alternative to consolidation would be conversion to a self-directed/semi-independent agency. In 1999, 2009, and 2011, the Texas Legislature enacted legislation that transferred several professional and occupational licensing agencies (other than TSBP) to self-directed/semi-independent status.
The self-directed/semi-independent status allows the Boards of these agencies to set and control the budgets for the agencies. Though the agencies are in control of their own budgets, they are still under the oversight of the legislature, governor, state auditor, state comptroller, and other state agencies. The self-directed, semi-independent status has allowed the agencies much more flexibility to react to changes in their respective professions. A bill was introduced during the 2013 Texas Legislative Session that would have granted TSBP, the Texas Medical Board, and the Texas Board of Nursing to self-directed/semi-independent status. This bill was not passed by the Legislature but the Legislature directed the Texas Sunset Commission to conduct a study of self-directed/semi-independent status for state agencies and to make recommendations to the legislature by December 31, 2014. The Sunset Commission developed recommendations for the administration of the State’s self-directed semi-independent (SDSI) process; however, the 84th Legislature did not pass the Sunset bill containing these recommendations. TSBP should continue to monitor legislative activity regarding self-directed/semi-independent status for agencies.
IDENTIFICATION OF ISSUES

In developing its Strategic Plan, the Board and agency staff sought to identify and analyze those trends and resulting issues expected to have the most significant impact on the profession and regulation of pharmacy over the next five years. As described in the Description of Agency Planning Process (Appendix A), the Board sought input from numerous outside individuals and organizations and internal comments from staff and Board members. The agency reviewed all comments and researched current and future trends and issues that will have the most significant impact on the practice and regulation of the practice of pharmacy over the next five years.

EXTERNAL ISSUES

Priority Issues Outside Of TSBP Rulemaking Authority or Requiring Additional Appropriations

The following eight issues were identified as the most important to the regulation of the practice of pharmacy in the State of Texas. These issues are outside of the Boards’ authority or require additional appropriations to implement.

1. Retirement of the Current Executive Director

   A. Brief Description of Issue
   The current executive director has indicated that she will retire in August 2017. The Board will establish a plan for hiring a new executive director. The Texas Pharmacy Act requires that the executive director of TSBP be a pharmacist. One item which may make the process of finding a pharmacist to be the executive director of TSBP is the salary paid to this position. Currently the legislature has placed the salary of the executive director in exempt group 4, which has a minimum salary of approximately $106,500 and a maximum salary of $171,688 per year. However, the legislature has specified that the executive director’s salary be set at $127,280 for FY2016-2017.

   B. Discussion
   The current salary for the position results in the executive director position being very difficult to fill, since this salary is less than that paid to some beginning pharmacists and certainly less than that paid to pharmacy managers. A 2014 survey of pharmacist’s salary conducted by “Drug Topics” reported the annual base salary for staff pharmacists is between $116,000 and $140,000 a year (Note: this salary is for staff pharmacist, not managers. Salary.Com reports that pharmacist managers make a median salary of $137,836).
A survey of the salaries of the Executive Director of Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Louisiana show that the average salary for these individuals is $140,000 or $13,000 less than that of the Executive Director in Texas. It should be noted that Texas licenses 52% more pharmacies, 55% more pharmacists, and 136% more pharmacy technicians than OK, LA, and OK combined.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact

If the salary for the Executive Director position is not increased to be competitive, the agency will have a very difficult time hiring a person with the management, strategic thinking, and planning skills necessary to manage the agency.

For the last two Legislative Sessions, the Board has asked the legislature to give them the authority to set the salary within the Group 4 exempt salary range. With this authority, the Board will be able to pay the person who is the executive director a salary that is competitive to pharmacists’ manager salaries and one that recognizes the qualifications necessary for the executive director.

2. Diversion of Controlled Substances through the Dispensing of Prescriptions without a Valid Medical Need

A. Brief Description of Issue

A limited number of pharmacists and pharmacies are creating a situation that has a critical impact on the public health and safety through the dispensing of controlled substances to patients who do not have a valid medical reason to receive these prescriptions at “Pill Mill” pharmacies. These types of pharmacies dispense controlled substances outside the course of professional practice. The prescribers who issue the prescriptions are not prescribing the controlled substances for a legitimate medical need and the pharmacies are dispensing these invalid prescriptions.

B. Discussion

The presence of these “Pill-Mill” Pharmacies in Houston and other Texas cities is having a dramatic and deadly effect on the citizens of Texas. In 2013, the CDC called prescription drug abuse a “growing epidemic.” Nearly three of four prescription drug overdoses is caused by opioid pain medication, and more people have died in recent years from the abuse of prescription drugs than from heroin and cocaine combined. The Harris County Coroner’s Office reported in 2010 that prescription drugs have killed more than 1,200 people in Harris County since 2006.
While there has been a marked decrease in the use of some illegal drugs like cocaine, data from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health show that nearly one-third of people aged 12 and over whom used drugs for the first time in 2009 began by using a prescription drug non-medically. Some individuals who misuse prescription drugs, particularly teens, believe these substances are safer than illicit drugs because they are prescribed by a healthcare professional and dispensed by a pharmacist.

Due to the huge number of prescribers and pharmacies involved in this type of activity, in the Houston area, TSBP signed a contract with the Drug Enforcement Administration in 2012 for one field investigator to work full-time with a Drug Enforcement Administration Task Force in the Houston area. This contract ended in October 2014. Unfortunately, at the end of this two-year period, DEA determined not to seek prosecution of any of the pharmacies investigated by the Task Force. The Board will continue to pursue cases against pharmacies and pharmacists for “pill mill” activity in the administrative/licensing system as well as assisting with criminal prosecution of those licensees involved.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact
Since these cases are very difficult to investigate, prepare the case for hearing and prosecute the case, the agency must have additional funds and personnel to pursue the prosecution of pharmacies and pharmacists who are willfully ignoring the law and dispensing prescriptions that are not issued for a valid medical use.

3. Maintaining Agency’s Leadership Position in Pharmacy Practice Regulation through Adequate Staffing and Adequate Compensation of Highly Qualified Agency Personnel

A. Brief Description of Issue
The Board of Pharmacy needs to continue its partnership with the public and profession to aggressively promote the highest level of pharmacy services possible. In addition, opportunities exist for the Board to continue its national leadership role in progressive regulation. While being “out-front” is never comfortable, the pharmacy profession in Texas has come to expect the Board to act in a key leadership position while addressing public needs. However, given the growth in both size and complexity of pharmacy practice and healthcare, multiplied by the continued increase in demand for services, the agency’s ability to accomplish its mission is severely challenged. The agency must aggressively pursue activities to retain and increase the number of highly qualified personnel employed by the agency.
B. Discussion
The Board of Pharmacy must be visionary in order to stay on the cutting edge of regulation. The Board must continue to play a public advocacy role and stay focused on enhanced patient outcomes, with continued examination of those issues that are truly important, embracing current technology and acting aggressively and fairly to hold pharmacists accountable for the patient care they provide. In order to protect the public health, safety and welfare, the agency must be adequately staffed. TSBP regulates a total population of 98,763 entities (as of year-end FY2014) with a smaller number of FTEs than other regulatory agencies who are regulating the same or a smaller number of entities. Moreover, the agency’s population is growing. In addition, the salaries of key positions are way below not only market, but other state agencies. Key positions that are currently underpaid contribute to turnover. If the agency experiences high turnover in these areas, it will certainly cripple the agency’s ability to function efficiently and effectively. During the 2015 Legislative Session, the agency requested funding to reclassify key positions but this funding was not granted.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact
The Board should continue to work with stakeholders to strike the appropriate balance in achieving its public protection mandate, yet be flexible enough to develop regulations to facilitate pharmacy practice changes. The Board should continue to seek increased funding from the Texas Legislature to hire an adequate number of staff to meet the increasing demand for licensing and enforcement services. In addition, the Board should continue to seek increased funding from the Texas Legislature to adequately compensate key positions.

4. Increase Licensee Compliance with Laws and Rules Relating to the Practice of Pharmacy through Education of Licensees

A. Brief Description of Issue
Because the profession is changing rapidly, the laws and rules relating to the practice of pharmacy are also changing. The Board should re-dedicate its efforts to educate pharmacist about the laws and rules that relate to the practice of pharmacy including the importance of patient counseling.

B. Discussion
Since 1982, the Board has following a “preventative” approach to enforcement based upon the belief that 95% of its licensees/registrants will obey the laws and rules governing the practice of pharmacy, if the licensees are well informed as to the requirements of the pharmacy laws and rules. A review of prior reports of TSBP performance measure Percent of Licensees with No Recent Violations proves that preventive
enforcement is working well. This successful educational program must expand and continue.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact
In developing this educational program, the Board should use all of the tools available to educate licensee including written information with the TSBP Newsletter, the TSBP website, social media such as Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, etc., presentations in person and on the Web, and compliance inspections.

5. Underutilization of the Clinical Knowledge and Skills of Pharmacists in the Current Health Care System

A. Brief Description of Issue
Pharmacists have the knowledge and opportunity to help patients achieve better outcomes from drug therapy and, in turn, provide a significant cost savings to Texas' healthcare system. The cost of this pharmaceutical care can very likely be recovered from the savings it generates.

B. Discussion
The positive outcome for patients and cost savings to the healthcare system can be realized only if an environment is created by healthcare reform that recognizes that the savings are not likely to be generated at the pharmacist-patient level. The savings will be generated at the level of patients' therapeutic successes and the resulting reductions in hospitalizations, surgeries, repeated office visits, nursing home admissions, and prolonged illnesses that result from patients using their medications improperly.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact
Pharmacists must become participating members of the healthcare team and work collaboratively with physicians and other healthcare practitioners to provide total care to the patient. This process is currently occurring in Texas in that many pharmacists provide expanded patient care services such as drug therapy management, administration of immunizations, disease state management, disease screening, and health promotion and disease prevention.

Because the clinical knowledge and skills of pharmacists is underutilized in the current healthcare system pharmacists must work to expand the scope of collaborative practice agreements. The Board should monitor legislative efforts to expand the scope of collaborative practice agreements.
6. Multiple Standards for Pharmacy Practice in Small and Large Hospitals

A. Brief Description of Issue
Currently, Texas has different requirements in the Pharmacy Act for pharmacy services in large hospitals (101 beds or more) and small hospitals (100 beds or less).

B. Discussion
The Pharmacy Act in Section 562.1011 (Operation of Class C Pharmacy in Certain Rural Hospitals) sets up a different standard of practice in rural hospitals with 75 beds or fewer, if the hospital is located in a county with a population of 50,000 or less or has been designated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services as a critical access hospital, rural referral center, or sole community hospital. This section allows pharmacy technicians to be supervised by nurses rather than pharmacists.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact
The Board believes that recent advancements in technology will allow pharmacist to supervise the work of pharmacy technician’s in a more cost effective manner. This will allow increased protection of patients’ health and to the elimination of the dual standard.

7. Physician Owned Pharmacies and Physician Dispensing

A. Brief Description of Issue
In 1981, Attorney General Mark White issued A.G. Opinion 410 regarding the dispensing of prescriptions by a physician (practitioner). This opinion stated:

A practitioner may not practice pharmacy unless he is also licensed as a pharmacist under this act. No licensed pharmacy may legally operate unless there is a pharmacist-in-charge who is a licensed pharmacist. A practitioner who undertakes to fill a prescription of another practitioner engages in the practice of pharmacy, which he may not do unless licensed as a pharmacist. A practitioner who dispenses drugs to his own patients from his office, and charges a separate fee therefor, is engaged in the practice of pharmacy, which he may not do unless licensed as a pharmacist.

In several of the last Legislative Sessions, the Legislature has considered bills that would change the law and allow physicians to dispense prescriptions from their office.
B. Discussion

Bills that were introduced during the 2013, and 2015 Legislative Sessions, generally limited the dispensing in physician’s offices to certain “aesthetic pharmaceuticals” such as Bimatoprost (Latisse), Hydroquinone (Lustra, Claripel), and Tretinoin (Retin A).

None of these bills have become law. However, during the 2013 Legislative Session, a bill did pass the Legislature. Governor Perry vetoed this bill and recognized in his veto proclamation the important role of the pharmacist and the Board of Pharmacy by stating the following:

“SB 227 would circumvent existing safeguards for the dispensing of certain prescription cosmetic drugs by allowing physicians and optometrist to sell these medications directly. It is the role of the pharmacists – who are trained specifically in drug interactions, side effects and allergies – to dispense the medications. Additionally, the State Board of Pharmacy has the authority to inspect pharmacies to ensure drugs are stored securely and at safe temperatures.”

It is expected another bill that would allow limited dispensing by physicians will be introduced during the 2017 Legislative Session.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact

The Board and the profession may need to review the issue to see if there might be a way to allow some limited, dispensing in physician’s office provided oversight of the dispensing by a pharmacist is provided. As Governor Perry indicated in his “Veto Proclamation” in 2013, “It is the role of the pharmacists – who are trained specifically in drug interactions, side effects and allergies – to dispense the medications. Any changes to this law need to recognize this important role of the physician in diagnosing and prescribing prescription drugs and the important role of the pharmacist in conducting a drug utilization review of all medications taken by a patient and dispensing the prescription.”

8. Program for Pharmacy Technicians Who are Impaired by Chemical Abuse or Mental or Physical Illness

A. Brief Description of Issue

The Texas Pharmacy Act contains provisions that authorize the agency to fund a Peer Assistance Program for pharmacists impaired by chemical abuse or mental or physical illness. However, there is not such program for pharmacy technicians.
B. Discussion
Since 1983, the Texas Pharmacy Act (Act) has authorized the agency to contract with an entity that operates a program established to aid pharmacists or eligible pharmacy students impaired by chemical abuse or mental or physical illness. In addition, the Act authorizes the agency to collect a surcharge on pharmacists' licenses to fund this program.

This program has been very successful in treating and rehabilitating pharmacists and pharmacy students and the success rate has been very high as can be seen by the agency performance measures. In FY2015, the one-year completion rate for pharmacists and students in the program was 74%. In addition, 66% of the individuals who completed one year of sobriety in FY2012, completed an additional 3 years of sobriety in FY2015 [i.e., the recidivism rate (relapse) was 34% in FY2015]. These numbers are much higher than those achieved in other recovery programs.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact
The Texas Pharmacy Act should be amended to allow pharmacy technicians to participate in the program.

9. Self-Directed/Semi-Independent Status for the Texas State Board of Pharmacy

A. Brief Description of Issue
The rapid changes occurring in pharmacy practice and the changing demands and pressures on the Board’s resources has prompted concern by the Board that it may not have the financial resources and the flexibility to meet its responsibilities efficiently and effectively. If TSBP had self-directed/semi-independent status, the agency would have the flexibility to expand and contract resources as needed, thus being more responsive to constituents and the public. This should result in more timely resolution of licensing and disciplinary matters.

B. Discussion
The Texas State Board of Pharmacy (TSBP) should pursue authorization to function as a self-directed/semi-independent (SDSI) agency. The operations of TSBP are supported solely by examination, licensing, and other fees paid by the licensees/registrants. The legislature approves the Board’s operating budget each biennium and the agency funds are deposited in the state treasury. Each biennium TSBP collects approximately $2 million more than it is budgeted. These excess funds are returned to the state treasury. Additionally, the Board is required each biennium to fund any new program with new fees rather than the use of any of the current funds it deposits in the treasury.
SDSI status would allow the agency to respond to crises in a timelier manner. For example, in September 2012, a multistate outbreak of fungal meningitis and other infections occurred among patients who received contaminated preservative-free methyl prednisone steroid injections from the New England Compounding Center in Framingham, Massachusetts. A total 754 patients were infected in 20-states and 64 patients died because of the infection. Texas had two patients who were infected with fungal meningitis, but these patients were treated and recovered. In order to assure that Texas patients were receiving safe products from pharmacies licensed by TSBP, the agency put a priority for inspection on sterile compounding pharmacies. However, without additional staff, we could not do these inspections quickly. During the 83rd Legislative Session, the Legislature funded the agency for an additional five compliance inspectors. If the agency had SDSI status, we could respond to situations like this in a much more timely manner and without having to wait for a Legislative Session.

During the 79th (2005) Legislative Session, Senate Bill 1438 was passed to allow three state agencies to participate in a self-directed/semi-independent pilot program (Board of Public Accountancy, Board of Professional Engineers, and the Board of Architectural Examiners). The agencies were permitted to move their funds outside the state treasury, pay their own bills, and reimburse the State for services rendered. The enabling statutes are still under direct control of the legislature and each agency must report certain information to the state regarding accountability of funds, services, and goals. The agencies are also subject to audit by the Office of the State Auditor.

Again, during the 81st (2009) Legislative Session, four additional state agencies were granted self-directed/semi-independent status by House Bill 2774. These included the Texas Finance Commission, the Texas Department of Banking, the Department of Savings and Mortgage Lending, the Office of Consumer Credit Commissioner, and the Credit Union Department.

During the 82nd (2011) Legislative Session, the Real Estate Commission was granted self-directed/semi-independent status by Senate Bill 1000. In addition, during the 82nd Legislative Session, House Bill 2092 was introduced that would give the Texas State Board of Pharmacy and the Texas Board of Nursing self-directed/semi-independent status. House Bill 2092 was voted out of the House Public Health Committee during the last few weeks of the Legislative Session, but it was not heard by the House.

During the 83rd (2013) Legislative Session, a bill was introduced that would have granted TSBP, the Texas Medical Board, and the Texas Board of Nursing self-directed/semi-independent status. This bill was not passed by the legislature but the legislature directed the Texas Sunset
Advisory Commission to conduct a study of Self-Directed Semi-Independent Status for state agencies and to make recommendations to the Legislature by December 31, 2014.

In July 2015, the Sunset Advisory Commission issued a report titled “Self-Directed Semi-Independent Status of State Agencies.” This report determined “that the State has an undefined and inconsistent approach to managing the SDSI process, which exposes the State to unnecessary risk. No single entity is responsible for administering and overseeing the SDSI process. Therefore, a comprehensive process with clearly-defined requirements for obtaining and retaining SDSI status does not exist.”

C. Possible Solutions and Impact
If the legislature considers SDSI status for agencies and if TSBP is granted self-directed/semi-independent status, TSBP would be removed from the legislative budgeting process and the budget would be adopted and approved by the board members appointed by the Governor. On the first day of each regular Legislative Session, TSBP would be required to submit a report to the Legislature and the Governor describing all of the agency’s activities in the previous biennium. In addition, TSBP would be required to report its two-year expenses and revenue collections by November 1 of each year to the Legislature, the Legislative Budget Board, and the Governor. The TSBP employees would remain members of the Employees Retirement System of Texas under Chapter 812 of the Government Code. The State Auditor would contract with TSBP to conduct financial and performance audits and the Attorney General would collect fees for their legal services. All agency supplies, materials, records, equipment, and facilities would be transferred to TSBP.

The advantages of moving TSBP to self-directed/semi-independent status to the State of Texas are as follows.

- The number of hearings and legislative time spent on agency budgets is reduced.
- The administrative burden of state government will be reduced by approximately:
  - 99 employees will be removed from the state payroll; and
  - More than a $10,000,000 will be removed from the state budget, thus reducing the biennial state budget.
- State oversight agencies such as the State Auditor, Comptroller of Public Accounts, State Office of Administrative Hearings, and Office of the Attorney General will receive actual reimbursement costs for services.
- The agency will have the flexibility to expand and contract resources as needed, thus being more responsive to constituents and the public. This should result in more timely resolution of licensing and disciplinary matters.
The number of reports to oversight agencies will be reduced with most reports required annually.
The governing Board of the agency will be held to a higher level of accountability to their constituents.
The agency budget will be held to a higher level of scrutiny by licensees and professional associations.

The move to self-directed/semi-independent status is a major change to how the agency finances are managed. This shift from direct state oversight to an agency-driven process is a significant change but has been tested by a number of licensing agencies and has proven to be successful and effective. By virtue of past State Auditor, Comptroller, and State Office of Risk Management audits, the Texas State Board of Pharmacy has proven to be an effective, efficient, and well-managed state agency and an excellent candidate for self-directed/semi-independent status.

INTERNAL ISSUES

The following one issue was identified as the most important to the regulation of the practice of pharmacy in the State of Texas. However, the Board is not asking for additional authority or funds to implement action on this issue.

1. Appropriate Level of Training and Supervision for Pharmacy Technicians

A. Brief Description of Issue
The practice of pharmacy is evolving and pharmacists are now required to perform more: cognitive services such as review of patient’s prescriptions to assure that drugs do not interact with others taken by the patient; and professional services such as administration of immunizations and vaccines to patients. This evolution of the pharmacist’s role is placing more time demands on the pharmacists and a corresponding desire to delegate more functions to pharmacy technicians.

B. Discussion
Currently, the Texas Pharmacy Act specifies that a pharmacy technician is an individual employed by a pharmacy “whose responsibility is to provide technical services that do not require professional judgment regarding preparing and distributing drugs and who works under the direct supervision of and is responsible to a pharmacist.” The Act also specifies that a pharmacy technician must have:
- a high school diploma or a high school equivalency certificate or be working to achieve an equivalent diploma or certificate; and
- passed a board-approved pharmacy technician certification examination.
Because pharmacists are spending more and more time conducting “cognitive services” such as drug use review and counseling patients on how to use their prescription drugs, the demand to expand the duties of pharmacy technicians is growing. As the demand for expanding the duties of pharmacy technicians grows, the discussion regarding the appropriate level of training and education of pharmacy technicians also grows. Most believe that it is imperative to “raise” the level of practice of pharmacy technicians and to do that, the pharmacy technician must be better educated.

In 2013, the Pharmacy Technician Certification Board (PTCB) announced changes to their certification program that will require individuals to have completed an American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) accredited training program prior to taking the PTCB examination by 2020. This decision will affect the TSBP since pharmacy technicians must have taken and passed the PTCB examination in order to become a pharmacy technician in Texas.

C. Possible Solutions and Impact
In November 2013, the Board formed a Pharmacy Technician Task force to review pharmacy technician practice in the State of Texas including educational requirements, scope of practice and overall regulation of pharmacy technicians in all pharmacy settings, including hospital and community. The Task Force held two meetings and presented its report to the Board at its meeting on May 6, 2014. Included in this report were several suggestions for the expansion of duties that could be performed by pharmacy technicians in both community and hospital pharmacies. As of August 2015, the Board has not taking action on the suggestions from the Task Force.

The Board will continue to study the duties and education of pharmacy technicians in Texas and will make recommendations for changes to the Pharmacy Act when a consensus is reached.
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PLANNING

To consistently provide fast, reliable, and cost-effective technology services in support of agency and statewide objectives.

Action Plans:

Reliable and Secure Services

- Security - To develop policies, standards and guidelines to secure the technology infrastructure, ensure the integrity of online services and protect the private information collected from citizens and businesses.

- Continuity of Operations – To develop a comprehensive business continuity plan which will support the swift recovery of technology assets and resumption of mission-critical functions.

- Connectivity – To upgrade aging network infrastructure where possible to support the increasing need for continuous connectivity to conduct business at greater capacity and faster speeds.

IT Resource Management

- IT Planning and Governance – To implement policies and guidelines to facilitate planning and collaboration on projects that have an information technology component.

- IT Workforce – To develop and implement strategies to recruit, retain and manage a fully trained and qualified IT workforce to meet current and future agency objectives.

Cost Effective & Collaborative Solutions

- Legacy Modernization – To identify existing mission-critical legacy applications and prioritize their replacement or modernization.

- Cloud Services – To utilize as appropriate, cloud-based software and infrastructure services to lower costs and implement quick solutions for efficient operations.

- Shared Services – To continue leveraging shared services facilitated by the Health Professions Council (HPC) and identify new opportunities for inter-agency collaboration.
Data Utility

- Data Management & Governance – To implement sound data management and governance principles to support and improve business processes and reduce costs.

- Open Data – To continue publishing high-value datasets online in support of statewide open data initiatives.

- Data Analytics – To identify areas for improvement in data quality and usage of analytics in decision-making processes.

Mobile and Digital Services

- Mobile Applications – To implement mobile applications where appropriate to increase the efficiency of field staff and to improve the delivery of services to our customers.

- Digital Services – To continue offering digital services to our customers and identify areas to implement online services in place of paper-based processes.