TEMPORARY SUSPENSION ORDER #2018-00370

RE: IN THE MATTER OF

RRX PHARMACY, LLC

(PHARMACY LICENSE #29409)

BEFORE THE TEXAS STATE

BOARD OF PHARMACY

On this day came on to be considered by the Disciplinary Panel of the Texas State Board

of Pharmacy (Board) the matter of the Petition for Temporary Suspension of pharmacy license

number 29409, issued to RRX Pharmacy (Respondent), 4014 Woodlawn Avenue, Suite B,

Pasadena, Texas 77504, pursuant to § 565.059 of the Texas Pharmacy Act (Pharmacy Act), TEX.

OCC. CODE ANN., Title 3, Subtitle J.

Manjit Singh, Owner, on behalf of Respondent, and Harold Dutton, Jr., Legal Counsel for

Respondent, were in attendance. John Griffith, Litigation Counsel, represented Board staff.

Kerstin Arnold served as General Counsel to the Disciplinary Panel. The following Board

members served as the Disciplinary Panel: Dennis F. Wiesner, R.Ph.; Jenny Downing Yoakum,

R.Ph.; and Lori Henke, Pharm.D., R.Ph.

The Disciplinary Panel determines that Respondent, by continuation in the operation of the

pharmacy, would constitute a continuing threat to the public welfare, and that pharmacy license

number 29409 issued to Respondent shall be temporarily suspended in accordance with § 565.059

of the Pharmacy Act. The Disciplinary Panel makes this finding based on the following evidence

and/or information presented at the August 7, 2019, Hearing on Temporary Suspension of License

of Respondent:

1. On or about August 5, 2014, Respondent was issued Texas pharmacy license number

29409.

2. The sole owner of Respondent is Manjit Singh.

3. As the holder of a pharmacy license, Respondent is liable for any violation of the Act by

an employee of the pharmacy.

- 4. A pharmacy is responsible for any violations in the practice of pharmacy by an owner or employee of the pharmacy.
- 5. The pharmacy license of Respondent was in full force and effect at all times and dates material and relevant to this Order.
- 6. The license of Respondent is current through August 31, 2020.
- 7. All jurisdictional requirements have been satisfied.
- 8. Between on or about May 1, 2018, through on or about April 22, 2019, Ibrahim Zaidan, while acting as an employee (pharmacist-in-charge on or about May 18, 2018, through on or about August 1, 2018, and staff pharmacist on or about August 1, 2018, through on or about November 17, 2018), Gary Lynne Smith while acting as an employee (pharmacist-in-charge on or about August 1, 2018, through on or about May 8, 2019), and other employees of Respondent, dispensed numerous invalid prescriptions for controlled substances to patients in discernable patterns that demonstrate a lack of professional judgment and neglect of a pharmacist's corresponding responsibility in dispensing controlled substances. Mr. Zaidan, Mr. Smith, and the other pharmacists knew or should have known the prescriptions were invalid.
- 9. During the above referenced time period, 99% of the controlled substance prescriptions dispensed were as follows:
 - (a) 2,820 prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP 10-325 mg tablets; and
 - (b) 2,765 prescriptions for carisoprodol 350 mg tablets.

Prescriptions for the two above controlled substances account for 47% of the total 11,784 prescriptions dispensed by the pharmacy during the above-stated time period. Further, Mr. Zaidan, Mr. Smith, and other pharmacists dispensed hydrocodone and carisoprodol in combination to approximately 75% of the pharmacy's patients.

- 10. During the above-referenced time period, Mr. Zaidan, Mr. Smith, and other employees of Respondent dispensed hydrocodone/APAP 10-325 mg tablets and carisoprodol 350 mg tablets in combination to the same patient on the same day, pursuant to prescriptions from multiple prescribers, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Shivarajpur Ravi, MD: 490 patients (95.89% of prescriber's total);
 - (b) Leo Hayes, MD: 396 patients (98.02% of prescriber's total);
 - (c) Katherine Thompson, MD: 239 patients (100% of prescriber's total);
 - (d) Bradford Romans, MD: 256 patients (96.97% of prescriber's total);
 - (e) Victor Rodriguez, MD: 203 patients (100% of prescriber's total);
 - (f) Peter Evans, MD and Pramila Bharwani, PA: 204 patients (92.31% of prescribers' total).

- 11. During the above-referenced time period, Mr. Zaidan, Mr. Smith, and other pharmacists of Respondent knew or should have known that the majority of the controlled substance prescriptions referenced in paragraphs 9 and 10 above were invalid, i.e. not issued for a legitimate therapeutic purpose or medical need in the regular course of professional practice, due to the following discernable patterns or red flag factors that a reasonable pharmacist should have recognized:
 - (a) prescriptions for the hydrocodone and carisoprodol were dispensed almost exclusively at the highest strength available in tablet form, with no variance;
 - (b) large quantities were dispensed:
 - (i) average of 115 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 mg per patient;
 - (ii) average of 84 carisoprodol 350 mg tablets per patient;
 - (c) controlled substance prescriptions were routinely dispensed as combinations, with patients receiving more than one of the controlled substances referenced above on the same date;
 - (d) the prescriptions indicated a discernable lack of individualized treatment; i.e., patients were receiving the same controlled substances, the same combinations, at the same maximum strength, from the same practitioner;
 - (e) many of the prescriptions failed to indicate a therapeutic purpose and/or diagnosis on the face of the prescriptions;
 - (f) in addition to the prescriptions for large quantities of high strengths of controlled substances, patients were also prescribed dangerous drugs and/or over-the-counter products in discernable patterns; and
 - (g) opioids and muscle relaxants are commonly known drugs of abuse—especially in combination.

Subsequent to any proceedings involving the conduct described above, the Board may take additional disciplinary action on any criminal action taken by the criminal justice system based on the same conduct described in the allegations above. However, Respondent shall be provided all rights of due process should the Board initiate such disciplinary action subsequent to the conclusion of the criminal proceedings.

ORDER OF THE BOARD

THEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Board does hereby ORDER that:

- (1) Pharmacy license number 29409 held by Respondent shall be, and such license is hereby temporarily suspended. Said suspension shall be effective immediately and shall continue in force and effect, pending a contested case hearing on disciplinary action against the suspended license to be held at the State Office of Administrative Hearings not later than ninety (90) days after the date of this Order. During the period of suspension, Respondent shall:
 - (a) not operate as a pharmacy in this state in any manner that would allow receipt, distribution, or dispensing prescription drugs during the period said license is suspended; and
 - (b) remove the wall certificate for said license and any renewal certificate pertaining to said license from public display in a pharmacy and may not further display in public view said certificates.
- (2) Respondent shall immediately transfer all prescription drugs to a secured licensed pharmacy or other entity with the authority to legally possess prescription drugs, not later than August 12, 2019, and to immediately thereafter provide documentation of transfer to the Board.
- (3) Respondent shall be responsible for all costs relating to compliance with the requirements of this Order.
- (4) Respondent shall allow Board staff to directly contact Respondent on any matter regarding the enforcement of this Order.
- (5) Failure to comply with any of the requirements in this Order constitutes a violation and shall be grounds for further disciplinary action. The requirements of this Order are subject to the Texas Pharmacy Act, Tex. Occ. Code Ann., Title 3, Subtitle J (2017), and Texas Pharmacy Board Rules, 22 Tex. Admin. Code (2019).

Temporary Suspension	Order	#2018-	00370
RRX Pharmacy			
Page 5			

Passed and approved at the Temporary Suspension Hearing of the Disciplinary Panel of the Texas State Board of Pharmacy on the __7th__ day of __August___, __2019__.

And it is so ORDERED.

THIS ORDER IS A PUBLIC RECORD.

SIGNED AND ENTERED ON THIS __7th__ day of __August____2019__.

MEMBER, TEXAS STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

MEMBER, TEXAS STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

MEMBER, TEXAS STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY